LAFCO

Santa Barbara Local Agency Formation Commission 105 East Anapamu Street ◆ Santa Barbara CA 93101 805/568-3391 ◆ FAX 805/647-7647 www.sblafco.org ◆ lafco@sblafco.org

March 4, 2004 (Agenda)

Local Agency Formation Commission 105 East Anapamu Street, Room 403 Santa Barbara CA 93101

Proposed LAFCO Budget for FY 2004-2005

RECOMMENDATION

The ad hoc Budget Committee recommends that the Commission:

- 1. Approve the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2003-04 as presented and direct the staff to distribute it to local agencies as required by Government Code Section 56381.
- 2. Schedule a public hearing on Thursday, May 6 to consider and adopt the Final Budget.
- 3. Direct the Executive Officer to advise the Commission of any changed conditions that may affect its ability to fulfill its responsibilities in the coming fiscal year.
- 4. Authorize the County Auditor to adjust the amounts collected from local agencies for next year's budget based on the actual year-end available fund balance minus designations.

DISCUSSION

The ad hoc Budget Committee consisting of Commissioners Campbell, Orach and Umenhofer met on February 18 and considered the financial program for the coming fiscal year.

We reviewed current expenses and anticipated requirements. For next year we recommend a budget that is smaller than the current budget and still funds necessary LAFCO activities.

Proposed Budget for FY 2004-05

We recommend a budget for next year that is \$33,200 less than this year, as summarized in the following chart:

Commissioners: Susan Rose, Chair ♦ Tim Campbell, Vice Chair ♦ Dick DeWees ♦ John Fox ♦ Gail Marshall ♦ Bob Orach ♦ Tom Umenhofer ♦ Joe Centeno ♦ Penny Leich ♦ Cathy Schlottmann ♦ David Smyser ♦ **Executive Officer:** Bob Braitman

	Final Budget	Projected	Proposed
	<u>2003-04</u>	Year End	2004-05
Services & Supplies	287 050	232 150	253 850
Other Charges	<u>850</u>	<u>850</u>	<u>850</u>
Total Expenditures	287 900	233 000	254 700

The budget is funded from different sources. The <u>unused funds</u> available at the end of the fiscal year are applied to the following year's budget. <u>Revenue</u> from processing fees and interest earned is added to the fund balance. After projecting these sources, the remainder of the budget is <u>funded by local agencies</u>. The amount is divided in thirds and funded equally by the County, cities and independent special districts, as required bys the Government Code.

The estimated year-end fund balance available for next year, projected revenues and the remaining amount to be apportioned among local agencies are shown in the following table:

	Final Budget 2003-04	Projected Year End	Proposed <u>2004-05</u>
Available Fund Balance	105 400	60 300	60 300
Other Revenues	25 500	17 100	25 500
Interest	6 000	4 600	4 600
Local agencies	<u>151 000</u>	<u>151 000</u>	<u>164 188</u>
Total Financing Sources	287 900	233 000	254 588

Attachment A explains specific expenditure and revenue accounts.

In addition to the available fund balance the Commission has set aside \$40,000 in a reserve fund that is available for new or unanticipated expenses that might occur during the year. If used during the year this account will be replenished for the following year.

Processing Fee Schedule

Income is generated from processing fees. Attachment B is the proposed fee schedule for Fiscal Year 2004-05. It is recommended that fees be increased by about 4% across the board based on incremental inflationary changes.

Conclusion

The legislature directs LAFCO to adopt a financial program to fulfill the purposes described in the Cortese/Knox/Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000.

The ad hoc Budget Committee recommends that the Commission approve the Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year 2004-05 as presented herein and that the staff be directed to distribute the budget as required by Government Code Section 56381.

While a specific financial program is recommended, we urge that flexibility be retained to make adjustments in the Final Budget should this prove warranted.

Very truly yours,

TOM UMENHOFER, Chair Ad hoc Budget Committee

SANTA BARBARA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF PROCESSING FEES To be effective July 1, 2004

Annexations and Detachments

Acreage	Existing Fee	Proposed Fee
Less than 5	\$ 525	\$ 560
5 to 10	750	785
10 to 25	1,030	1,100
25 +	2,200	2,300

Reorganizations:

Annexation or detachment fee plus a 20% surcharge for each additional change of organization in the application, except for detachments from the County Fire Protection District.

Formations	and		\$2,200		\$2	2,300	
Incorporations							
	1 0						

In addition to the processing fee, the cost of preparing the comprehensive fiscal analysis shall be borne by the applicant, proponents or supporters of the incorporation.

Sphere of Influence Amendment	\$ 890	\$ 890
Out-of-Agency Service Agreements	The same fee as for an anne	exation.
Copies of documents	1-50 pages is \$0.25 a page;	50+ is \$0.10 page

Fee Policies:

- 1. Fees are not charged for proposals that result from LAFCO orders or recommendations.
- 2. Fees must be received before proceeding are completed.
- 3. A supplemental fee shall be charged for proposals that require LAFCO to conduct public hearings, including conducting authority hearings. The fee shall recover actual costs to publish notices and mail notices to landowners and registered voters as required by law.
- 4. Additional fees may be charged for preparing environmental documents when LAFCO is the lead agency.

- 5. A \$1,100 deposit payable to "County of Santa Barbara" for reviewing maps and legal descriptions must be submitted with proposals that include maps and legals. Boundary changes will be recorded only when obligations to the County Surveyor are satisfied.
- 6. The fee for filing a request for reconsideration shall be 50% of the original processing fee amount. The fee shall be returned to the applicant if the Commission determines that the reconsideration is required to correct a procedural defect in its earlier action.
- 7. The cost for the State to review the Comprehensive Fiscal Analysis for an incorporation shall be the responsibility of those requesting the review.