

---

**Santa Barbara Local Agency Formation Commission**  
105 East Anapamu Street ♦ Santa Barbara CA 93101  
805/568-3391 ♦ FAX 805/647-7647  
www.sblafco.org ♦ lafco@sblafco.org

Date October 6, 2022 (Agenda)

**Title**  
**Brown Act Amendment; SB 1100**

**RECOMMENDATION**

Receive a briefing on SB 1100 that amends the Brown Act to authorize a presiding member of a legislative body to order removed an individual who “actually disrupts” a legislative meeting.

**DISCUSSION**

Senate Bill 1100 (Cortese, 2022) adds Section 54957.95 to the Government Code (attached) that imposes a limitation on the public’s right of access to the meetings of public bodies within the meaning of Section 3 of Article I of the California Constitution. The Legislature found that this provision is necessary to give legislative bodies clear authorization to restore order to meetings in the event of actual disruptions that are rendering infeasible the orderly conduct of public meetings and, thereby, preserve the rights of other members of the public at the meeting and allow the legislative body to continue its work on behalf of the public.

SB 1100 authorizes the presiding member of the legislative body, or his or her designee, to remove or cause removal of an individual for an actual disruption of a public meeting. Any removal must be preceded by a warning from the presiding member that the individual disrupting the meeting must curtail his or her disruptive activity before being removed. Section 54957.95(b)(2) defines “disrupting” behavior to mean that which “actually disrupts” the orderly conduct of the meeting. A warning by the presiding member is not required where a member of the public engages in behavior that constitutes use of force or a true threat of force.

As stated in SB 1100, the intent of the Legislature is to codify the authority and standards for governing public meetings in accordance with *Acosta v. City of Costa Mesa*, 718 F.3d 800, 811 (9th Cir. 2013).

Please contact me if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,



William M Dillon  
Law Office of William Dillon  
LAFCO Counsel



# California.

## LEGISLATIVE INFORMATION

[Home](#) | [Bill Information](#) | [California Law](#) | [Publications](#) | [Other Resources](#) | [My Subscriptions](#) | [My Favorites](#)

### SB-1100 Open meetings: orderly conduct. (2021-2022)

SHARE THIS:  

Date Published: 08/22/2022 09:00 PM

#### Senate Bill No. 1100

#### CHAPTER 171

An act to add Section 54957.95 to the Government Code, relating to local government.

[ Approved by Governor August 22, 2022. Filed with Secretary of State August 22, 2022. ]

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 1100, Cortese. Open meetings: orderly conduct.

(1) Existing law, the Ralph M. Brown Act, requires, with specified exceptions, that all meetings of a legislative body of a local agency, as those terms are defined, be open and public and that all persons be permitted to attend and participate. Existing law requires every agenda for regular meetings of a local agency to provide an opportunity for members of the public to directly address the legislative body on any item of interest to the public, before or during the legislative body's consideration of the item, that is within the subject matter jurisdiction of the legislative body. Existing law authorizes the legislative body to adopt reasonable regulations to ensure that the intent of the provisions relating to this public comment requirement is carried out, including, but not limited to, regulations limiting the total amount of time allocated for public testimony on particular issues and for each individual speaker. Existing law authorizes the members of the legislative body conducting the meeting to order the meeting room cleared and continue in session, as prescribed, if a group or groups have willfully interrupted the orderly conduct of a meeting and order cannot be restored by the removal of individuals who are willfully interrupting the meeting.

This bill would authorize the presiding member of the legislative body conducting a meeting or their designee to remove, or cause the removal of, an individual for disrupting the meeting. The bill, except as provided, would require removal to be preceded by a warning to the individual by the presiding member of the legislative body or their designee that the individual's behavior is disrupting the meeting and that the individual's failure to cease their behavior may result in their removal. The bill would authorize the presiding member or their designee to then remove the individual if the individual does not promptly cease their disruptive behavior. The bill would define "disrupting" for this purpose.

(2) Existing constitutional provisions require that a statute that limits the right of access to the meetings of public bodies or the writings of public officials and agencies be adopted with findings demonstrating the interest protected by the limitation and the need for protecting that interest.

This bill would make legislative findings to that effect.

(3) The California Constitution requires local agencies, for the purpose of ensuring public access to the meetings of public bodies and the writings of public officials and agencies, to comply with a statutory enactment that amends or enacts laws relating to public records or open meetings and contains findings demonstrating that the enactment furthers the constitutional requirements relating to this purpose.

This bill would make legislative findings to that effect.

Vote: majority Appropriation: no Fiscal Committee: no Local Program: no

## THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

### SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) It is the intent of the Legislature to prescribe requirements for governing public meetings that are consistent with subdivision (c) of Section 54954.3 of the Government Code, which provides that a legislative body of a local agency shall not prohibit public criticism of the policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency, or of the acts or omissions of the legislative body.

(b) It is further the intent of the Legislature to prescribe requirements for governing public meetings to protect civil liberties in accordance with the United States Constitution, the California Constitution, and relevant law.

(c) It is further the intent of the Legislature to codify the authority and standards for governing public meetings in accordance with *Acosta v. City of Costa Mesa*, 718 F.3d 800, 811 (9th Cir. 2013), in which the court explained that an ordinance governing the decorum of a city council meeting is not facially overbroad if it only permits a presiding officer to eject an attendee for actually disturbing or impeding a meeting.

### SEC. 2. Section 54957.95 is added to the Government Code, to read:

**54957.95.** (a) (1) In addition to authority exercised pursuant to Sections 54954.3 and 54957.9, the presiding member of the legislative body conducting a meeting or their designee may remove, or cause the removal of, an individual for disrupting the meeting.

(2) Prior to removing an individual, the presiding member or their designee shall warn the individual that their behavior is disrupting the meeting and that their failure to cease their behavior may result in their removal. The presiding member or their designee may then remove the individual if they do not promptly cease their disruptive behavior. This paragraph does not apply to any behavior described in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (1) of subdivision (b).

(b) As used in this section:

(1) "Disrupting" means engaging in behavior during a meeting of a legislative body that actually disrupts, disturbs, impedes, or renders infeasible the orderly conduct of the meeting and includes, but is not limited to, one of the following:

(A) A failure to comply with reasonable and lawful regulations adopted by a legislative body pursuant to Section 54954.3 or any other law.

(B) Engaging in behavior that constitutes use of force or a true threat of force.

(2) "True threat of force" means a threat that has sufficient indicia of intent and seriousness, that a reasonable observer would perceive it to be an actual threat to use force by the person making the threat.

**SEC. 3.** The Legislature finds and declares that Section 2 of this act, which adds Section 54957.95 to the Government Code, imposes a limitation on the public's right of access to the meetings of public bodies or the writings of public officials and agencies within the meaning of Section 3 of Article I of the California



Constitution. Pursuant to that constitutional provision, the Legislature makes the following findings to demonstrate the interest protected by this limitation and the need for protecting that interest:

This act is necessary to give legislative bodies clear authorization to restore order to meetings in the event of actual disruptions that are disturbing, disrupting, impeding, or rendering infeasible the orderly conduct of the meeting and, thereby, preserve the rights of other members of the public at the meeting and allow the legislative body to continue its work on behalf of the public.

**SEC. 4.** The Legislature finds and declares that Section 2 of this act, which adds Section 54957.95 to the Government Code, furthers, within the meaning of paragraph (7) of subdivision (b) of Section 3 of Article I of the California Constitution, the purposes of that constitutional section as it relates to the right of public access to the meetings of local public bodies or the writings of local public officials and local agencies. Pursuant to paragraph (7) of subdivision (b) of Section 3 of Article I of the California Constitution, the Legislature makes the following findings:

This act is necessary to give legislative bodies clear authorization to restore order to meetings in the event of actual disruptions that are disturbing, disrupting, impeding, or rendering infeasible the orderly conduct of the meeting and, thereby, preserve the rights of other members of the public at the meeting and allow the legislative body to continue its work on behalf of the public.