

Please Read into the Record for April 6, 2023 Meeting
from Kathryn Lohmeyer Rohrer

If LAFCO is inclined to grant the Los Olivos CSD's extension request, it should take into account the fact that the project and progress described in the [LOCSD's presentation to LAFCO today](#) does not mention, let alone attempt to reconcile, the fact that the LOCSD has failed to achieve the goals identified in the [LOCSD's March 2021 extension request](#) and [presentation](#), as well as in its [2020 extension request](#): **the design and siting of a Phase I system in the township's commercial core.**

For years, the LOCSD represented to community members and to LAFCO that it was diligently pursuing the project outlined in the [project description the LOCSD adopted, based on community input, in August 2019](#).

Unfortunately, the project and progress presented to LAFCO in **both 2020 and 2021** have been abandoned. Instead, in the 18 months immediately following LAFCO's grant of an extension in 2021 -- with no public outreach or input -- three members of the LOCSD Board focused exclusively on their pursuit of a [vastly larger and more expensive system](#).

Therefore, I join the RWQCB in its **conditional support** for the grant of the requested extension, but ask that any extension be **expressly conditioned** on the CSD board pursuing a 218 vote on a project consistent with:

1. The LOCSD's [Resolution 19-04](#), which has never been amended or rescinded;
2. the [EHS recommendations](#) and the [County's General Plan for the Valley, including Los Olivos](#); **and**
3. the [project Lisa Palmer described to LAFCO in 2021](#) and [2020](#).