LAFCO

Santa Barbara Local Agency Formation Commission

105 East Anapamu Street ◆ Santa Barbara CA 93101 805/568-3391 ◆ FAX 805/568-2249 www.sblafco.org ◆ lafco@sblafco.org

April 7, 2016 (Agenda)

Local Agency Formation Commission 105 East Anapamu Street Santa Barbara CA 93101

Report on 2016 CALAFCO Annual Conference - October 26-28, 2016, Santa Barbara

Dear Members of the Commission

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Commission provide direction to staff, as necessary

DISCUSSION

The 2016 CALAFCO Conference Planning Committee held its Fourth Meeting on March 22, 2016 by conference call. A copy of the agenda packet is attached as **Exhibit A**. As determined by the Commission, the theme for the conference is: "Orchards to Oceans: Balancing California's Diversity."

<u>Call for Presentations:</u> On February 12, 2016, CALAFCO sent out a Call for Presentations for the conference. Basically, the call invited anyone who was interested in presenting a session at this year's Annual Conference, to submit a proposal. The presentations are intended to discuss the latest issues and share knowledge and best practices.

Eight proposals were submitted by the deadline as follows:

Proposal 1: Incorporations -Paul Novak, LA LAFCO

Proposal 2: LAFCO/Responsible Agency-Neelima Palacherla, Santa Clara LAFCO

Proposal 3: District Formations and SGMA-John O'Farrell, Consultant

Proposal 4: AB 8, Prop Tax Exchange, and LAFCOs-Jose Henriquez, El Dorado

Proposal 5: Water Status Report-David Church, SLO LAFCO

Proposal 6: Using GIS for LAFCO Research-E. Teñell Matlovsky, SB County Surveyor

Proposal 7: AB 1234 Ethics-2 Hour Session-Paul Hood, SB LAFCO

Proposal 8: Local Producer Steve Nicolaides and groundwater documentary

Local Agency Formation Commission April 7, 2016 (Agenda) Page two

In addition, after the deadline, Tom Fayram, Santa Barbara County's Deputy Public Works Director, Water Resources Division, submitted a proposal for a session on "Water Supply in Santa Barbara County." Mr. Fayram's proposal is attached as **Exhibit B.**

SBLAFCO Areas of Responsibility:

Conference and SBLAFCO Logo: CALAFCO's graphic designer has come up with a Conference logo based on the Conference theme. The logo will be used with the attached Conference Announcement and Sponsorship Information. The conference logo is attached as **Exhibit C**.

The new Conference logo will be used for "Save the Date" flyers, advertising the Conference, the mobile workshop and the Wednesday evening special event. The new SBLAFCO logo would also be used for SBLAFCO letterhead, staff reports, the website, and other uses.

Mobile Workshop and Special Events: As the host LAFCO, we are working on a Mobile Workshop on Wednesday morning before the Conference begins. The Mobile Workshop is an opportunity for the host LAFCO to showcase one or two unique locales or facilities that benefit the local environment. In the case of Santa Barbara County, there are an unlimited number of opportunities to travel and show off the County. It will be our responsibility to decide which area and/or facilities to showcase.

<u>Sponsorships</u>: For the first time this year, CALAFCO has hired a "sponsorship team" for the Annual Conference. What this means is that CALAFCO will contact prospective sponsors directly through the sponsorship team. Therefore, if any Commissioners have a prospective sponsor(s), please send their contact information, including names, addresses, phone numbers, and e-mail addresses, to Commission Clerk Jacquelyne Alexander. Jacquelyne will then forward the information directly to CALAFCO's sponsorship team.

Possible Conference Sessions:

- 1. AB 3 session to discuss Universities/State Agencies
- 2. UC System and changes that affect LAFCOs
- 3. Greenhouse gas and how this issues plays into LAFCO decisions.
- 4. State overrides of local authority: SGMA, SB 239, SB 88 to name a few.
- 5. When the drought ends? Everything will be fine!? A session exploring the future
- 6. Interactive Educational General session: possibly water as topic: Steve/Scott
- 7. Desal/Recycled water/water alternatives session: Interagency Coordination
- 8. Water and Governance-The new landscape shaped by drought, SGMA, & Growth
- 9. SB 244 DUC's: How is that working for ya?
- 10. JPAs and LAFCO: Necessary Legislation or Not?

Local Agency Formation Commission April 7, 2016 (Agenda) Page two

- 11. Cutting Edge Technology and LAFCO: GIS, Public Policy, Trends, and Drones
- 12. Noticing: Current Practice and Future Trends
- 13. Coastal Commission/Local Coastal Plans and LAFCO

EXHIBITS

Exhibit A

Conference Planning Committee Agenda Packet

Exhibit B

Tom Fayram's Presentation Proposal

Exhibit C

Conference Logo

Please contact the LAFCO office if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

PAUL HOOD

Executive Officer

Paul Hood





2016 Annual Conference Santa Barbara October 26-28

Planning Committee Meeting #4 Agenda

March 22, 2016 10:00 a.m. - 11:00 a.m.

Dial in number: 800-326-0013 Conference Call id: 1273787#

1. Roll Call, Review Agenda

D. Church

2. Update from the Host Committee

J.Moorhouse/P.Hood

- a. Mobile workshop update
- b. How are other things going?
- 3. Review Proposals and Topics

D. Church/P Miller

- a. See attached proposals 8 proposals submitted for review
- b. Number of topics still available
- 4. Room Availability/Limitations:

P. Miller

- a. No General Sessions on Thursday-after the annual meeting
- b. General Sessions can be done on Wednesday and Friday
- c. Lunch is outside-Speaker only-no powerpoint
- 5. Prominent speakers/keynote speakers: **Scott Slater**

ΑII

- a. Very experienced Water Attorney-dynamic speaker:Lunch?
- Helping out: Making the Conference great!

D. Church

- a. Bio and Session Summary Coordinator
- b. Powerpoint Coordinator
- c. Responsible Team Leader
- 7. Next steps-Final Comments
- 8. Confirm next meeting date

D. Church

Thanks and Meeting Adjourned!

CALAFCO Annual Conference Santa Barbara – 2016 Program Committee Meeting and Deliverables Schedule

Meeting	Date	Deliverables - Key Tasks	
Meeting #1	December 15, 2015	Annual Conference Introduction	
Meeting #2	January 26, 2016	Conference Theme-Done!	
Meeting #3	February 23, 2016	Proposals/Session-Not quite yet!	
Meeting #4	March 22, 2016	Session Discussion/Selection	
Meeting #5	April 26, 2016	Pull together Sessions/Speakers	
Meeting #6	May 24, 2016	Confirm Speakers and Moderators	
Meeting #7	June 28, 2016	Update and Coordinate	
Meeting #8	July 26, 2016	Update and Coordinate	
Meeting #9	August 2, 2016	Update and Coordinate	
Meeting #10	August 23, 2016	Session Description - Speaker Bios Due	
Meeting #11	September 6, 2016	Any wiggles or shakes?	
Meeting #12	September 27, 2016	Powerpoints Due September 20th	
Final Meeting	October 4, 2016	Handouts for duplication due Ready, Set,Go!	
	October 26-27-28	Conference!	

Planning Committee

Board Members: Gay Jones, Gerard McCallum, Sblend Sblendorio (Chair), Josh Susman

Commission and Staff Volunteers: Martha Poyatos, Mona Palacios, Kris Berry, Paul Hood, José Henríquez, Scott Browne, Robert Barry, Joe Serrano, Steve Lucas, Kai Luoma, Brendon Freeman, Bob Braitman, and Commissioners Roger Welt and Jeff Moorhouse, Michael Allen, Jacquelyne Alexander,

Session Proposals Submitted

- Proposal 1: Incorporations -Paul Novak, LA LAFCO
- Proposal 2: LAFCO/Responsible Agency–Neelima Palacherla, Santa Clara LAFCO
- Proposal 3: District Formations and SGMA-John O'Farrell, Consultant
- Proposal 4: AB 8, Prop Tax Exchange, and LAFCOs-Jose Henriquez, El Dorado
- Proposal 5: Water Status Report-David Church, SLO LAFCO
- Proposal 6: Using GIS for LAFCO Research-E. Teñell Matlovsky, SB County Surveyor
- Proposal 7: AB 1234 Ethics-2 Hour session-Paul Hood, SB LAFCO
- Proposal 8: Local Producer Steve Nicolaides and groundwater documentary

Topics List

- 1. AB 3 session to discuss Universities/State Agencies
- 2. UC System and changes that affect LAFCOs
- 3. Greenhouse gas and how this issues plays into LAFCO decisions.
- 4. State overrides of local authority: SGMA, SB 239, SB 88 to name a few.
- 5. When the drought ends? Everything will be fine!? A session exploring the future
- 6. Interactive Educational General session: possibly water as topic: Steve/Scott
- 7. Desal/Recycled water/water alternatives session: Interagency Coordination
- 8. Water and Governance-The new landscape shaped by drought, SGMA, & Growth
- 9. SB 244 DUC's: How is that working for ya?
- 10. JPAs and LAFCO: Necessary Legislation or Not?
- 11. Cutting Edge Technology and LAFCO: GIS, Public Policy, Trends, and Drones
- 12. Noticing: Current Practice and Future Trends
- 13. Coastal Commission/Local Coastal Plans and LAFCO

2016 CALAFCO Annual Conference Presentation Proposal Submitted February 23, 2016

Title:

City Incorporations in California: Case Studies and Prospects for New Cities.

Description:

Recent changes to state law have significantly impacted the prospects for city incorporations. Three practitioners describe the city incorporation process and provide details of two unsuccessful proposals (Olympic Valley in Placer County in 2015 and East Los Angeles in Los Angeles County in 2012) and one successful proposal (City of Jurupa Valley in Riverside County in 2011).

City incorporations are, traditionally, a sound method of managing growth, protecting environmental resources, and preventing sprawl. The incorporation of new cities is essential to providing adequate municipal services to support growth in unincorporated areas. Incorporations also implement local control by enabling citizens to assume management of growth, service delivery, and municipal finances.

The 58 LAFCOs in California have successfully guided city incorporation proposals since the mid-1960s. Due to funding constraints created by recent changes in state law, there are, at present, no active cityhood proposals in California. It is clear that citizens who propose to form a new city must adapt their incorporation proposals to address a changed fiscal climate. A creative and resilient approach to the incorporation process—one that involves proactively addressing reduced funding opportunities—is the only feasible way to secure LAFCO and voter approval of a new city.

Learning Objectives:

Attendees will learn:

- The details of the city incorporation process;
- LAFCO's requirements for the long-term "economic viability" of new cities;
- How new cities must negotiate "revenue neutrality" with counties;
- How recent changes in state law have reduced funding for new cities;
- · Why recent cityhood proposals failed or succeeded; and
- Adapting to changing conditions to maximize the likelihood of securing LAFCO and voter approval of new cities.

Proposed Timeline

This session is proposed as 60-minute or 75-minute breakout session or general session.

Presenters:

Paul Novak, AICP; Moderator/Speaker

Paul Novak is the Executive Officer of Los Angeles LAFCO. He previously worked for Los Angeles County Supervisor Mike Antonovich, and had his own planning consultancy. He has a B.A. in political science from Claremont McKenna College and a Master of Real Estate Development from the University of Southern California.

Paul A. Novak, AICP
Executive Officer
Local Agency Formation Commission
for the County of Los Angeles
80 South Lake Avenue
Suite 870
Pasadena, CA 91101
pnovak@lalafco.org
626/204-6500

Kris Berry, AICP; Speaker:

Kris Berry is the Executive Officer of Placer LAFCO. Prior to Placer LAFCO, she served as a Senior Analyst at Monterey LAFCO, and as a Senior Planner with Monterey County. She has a BS in City and Regional Planning from California Polytechnic State University San Luis Obispo.

Kristina Berry, AICP
Executive Officer
Placer Local Agency Formation Commission
110 Maple Street
Auburn, CA 95603
kberry@placer.ca.gov
530/889-4097

Gary Thompson, Speaker:

Gary Thompson is the City Manager for the City of Jurupa Valley. He has performed city incorporation analysis for several LAFCOs statewide, including three of the last four cities to incorporate, and authored CALAFCO's "Newly Incorporated Cities—Successfully Transitioning to Cityhood." He holds a B.A. in Business Administration from CSULB.

Gary Thompson
City Manager
City of Jurupa Valley
8930 Limonite Ave
Jurupa Valley, CA 92509
qthompson@jurupavalley.org

951-332-6464

Contact Person:

Paul A. Novak, AICP Executive Officer Los Angeles LAFCO 626/204-6500 pnovak@lalafco.org

2016 CALAFCO ANNUAL CONFERENCE PRESENTATION PROPOSAL

Title of Presentation: Some Potential Ideas include:

- "When a Lead Agency's CEQA Analysis Falls Short, What's a LAFCO to do?"
- "LAFCOs Don't Have to Accept Inadequate CEQA Analysis: Knowing and Exercising Your Options"
- "CEQA Review is Not a Spectator Sport: LAFCO's Powerful Role as a Responsible Agency"
- "LAFCO as a Responsible Agency: How to Hold Lead Agency Accountable when their CEQA Analysis is Inadequate"
- "Standing-Up for CEQA and the Public: LAFCO's Powerful Role as a Responsible Agency"

Concise Description of the Session, specifically summarizing content in no more than 200 words:

The session will discuss the powerful role that LAFCOs can play in the CEQA review process and share best practices and methods for fully exercising that role during the different stages of the CEQA process, from project scoping, to providing effective comments, to options to consider and potentially exercise if and when LAFCO finds that a Lead Agency's CEQA analysis is inadequate.

The session will showcase case studies that highlight how different LAFCOs, as Responsible Agencies in CEQA, addressed the issue of a Lead Agency failing to conduct and prepare an adequate CEQA analysis for a project that would require LAFCO's consideration and approval.

For example, Merced LAFCO exercised its authority to assume Lead Agency role in order to ensure that the necessary CEQA analysis was conducted, as required by law and as necessary for LAFCO's consideration and action.

In another case, Santa Clara LAFCO exercised its authority to legally challenge the adequacy of a Lead Agency's EIR for a major urban expansion proposal. This unusual action by that LAFCO was not without controversy and has had some expected as well as unexpected results.

Other examples / case studies may be discussed.

Learning objectives, described from the attendees' standpoint: what will attendees be able to do on completion of the session. Up to four objectives:

- 1. Learn techniques that can help LAFCOs become more effective Responsible Agencies during the different stages of the CEQA process.
- Learn when and how to involve LAFCO Legal Counsel in LAFCO's review of Lead Agency's CEQA analysis and LAFCO's preparation of comment letters on the CEQA documents, with a eye towards strengthening LAFCO comment letters and creating a public record which preserves LAFCO's future options, including potential legal challenges.
- Understand the various options that LAFCOs have as Responsible Agencies in the
 event that Lead Agency's CEQA analysis is inadequate, legal requirements and
 time-lines for exercising certain options, process for exercising these options and
 cost implications.

Proposed Timeline of the Session:

15 minutes on how to become a more effective Responsible Agency during the different stages of the CEQA process and discussion of available options, requirements for each option, and applicable time-lines for exercising those options, when a Lead Agency's CEQA Analysis is inadequate.

10-15 minutes on case study #1

10-15 minutes on case study #2

10-15 minutes Q & A

Each presenter's name, title, and brief biography:

Recommending a panel consisting of a 2 LAFCO Eos / LAFCO Commissioners, 1 or 2 LAFCO Legal Counsels. (Potential panelists from Santa Clara LAFCO, Merced LAFCO, and /or another LAFCO TBD)

Contact information for the proposer:

Neelima Palacherla, Executive Officer LAFCO of Santa Clara County neelima.palacherla@ceo.sccgov.org 408,299,5127

2016 CALAFO ANNUAL CONFERENCE SESSION PROPOSAL

DURATION: 60-90 MINUTES

FORMAT: PANEL PRESENTATION OF 2-3 DIFFERENT CASES STUDIES OF DIFFERENT LOCAL WAYS TO ADDRESS THE SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ACT OF 2014 (SGMA)

TITLE: THE CHALLENGES FACING LOCAL GOVERNMENT IN CREATING GROUNDWATER SUSTAINABILITY AGENCIES (GSA'S) IN UNSERVED AREAS FOR LOCAL CONTROL TO COMPLY WITH SGMA

PANEL #1-- "WHAT IS SGMA, AND HOW THE HECK TO YOU DEAL WITH IT?" WHAT HAPPENS IF YOU DON'T?"

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, LEGISLATIVE STAFF, WATER ATTORNEY TO PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF SGMA AND HISTORY OF VARIOUS WATER CODES, ESPECIALLY CALIFORNIA WATER CODE ACT

DISCUSSION POINTS: WHY SGMA, PURPOSE, STATE RESPONSIBIITY, LOCAL METHOD TO ADDRESS, AGENCIES CAPABLE OF BECOMING GSA'S, ROLE OF GSA'S, HISTORY OF ENABLING LEGISLATION OF VARIOUS WATER CODES

PANEL#2—SAN LUIS OBPISO CASE STUDY: "SPECIAL LEGISLATION TO DEAL WITH SGMA TO SOLVE SPECIFIC COUNTY PROBLEMS, IS THAT IS THE ANSWER?' DISCUSSION POINTS: WHY SEEK SPECIAL LEGISLATION WHEN THERE ARE ALREADY METHODS IN CKH TO DEAL WITH SGMA? IS IT THE ANSWER AND DOES IT GUARANTEE SUCCESS?

PANEL#3—GLENNN COUNTY CASE STUDY: "THE TRIALS AND TRIBUALTIONS OF FORMING THE GLENN COUNTY GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT USING THE OLD FASHION, TRADITIONAL METHOD—LAND OWNER PETITION" POINTS OF DISCUSSION: CHALLENGES OF AN OUTDATED PRINCIPAL ACT (CERTIFYING THE PETITION, WHO CAN SIGN, ERRONEOUS AND INACCURATE ASSESSMENT ROLES), PRINCIPAL ACT AND CORTESES KNOX HERTZBERG—DO THEY REALLY WORK TOGETHER OR IS THERE INHERENT CONFLICT AND CONFUSION?

DISCUSSION OF PROPOSED SOLUTIONS—PERIODIC UPDATE OF PRINCIPAL ACTS OF SPECIAL DISTRICTS TO REFLECT CHANGES IN POLIITCAL GEOGRAPHY, DEMOGRAPHICS, PETITION REQUIREMENTS (REQUIRED NUMBER OF SIGNERS, WHO CAN SIGN, POWER OF ATTORNEY)

PRINCIPAL ACT V. CKH? NOT A LOT OF CLARITY OF WHEN ONE TRUMPS THE OTHER, ESPECIALLY IN THE CASE OF THE CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT STATUTE, PROBLEMS BEYOND THE CONTROL OF THE APPLICANT (INADEQUATE AND ERRONEOUS ASSESSMENT RECORDS, REGISTERED VOTER LISTS—PERIODIC REQUIREMENTS TO REVIEW AND PURGE)

EACH PANEL WOULD RUN 20-30 MINUTES WITH PRESENTERS HAVING THE DISCRETION TO ADDRESS THE TOPIC AS THEY BEST SEE FIT AT THE END OF EACH PRESENTATION, AN ALLOWANT OF TIME FOR Q AND A

ALSO, MAYBE ONE OTHER PANEL OF AN URBAN COUNTIES WITH MULTIPLE GSA'S AND HOW THEY ARE HERDING THE "CATS" TO HAVE COUNTY WIDECOORDINATED WATER MANAGEMENT PLANS, AND HOW THEY HAVE DEALT WITH UNSERVED AREAS

JOHN O'FARRELL JOHN O'FARRELL AND ASSOCIATES 8233 WINDING WAY FAIR OAKS, CA 95628 <u>OFARRELLI@COMCAST.NET</u> 916-952-8935

Proposal #4

Title of Program: Is it time to revisit AB-8?

The central tenet of this discussion is whether it is time to revisit Assembly Bill 8. Passed in the early 1980s as a means to implement Proposition 13, AB-8 sets the basic parameters for both the allocation of the property dollar as well as the means by which that formula may be changed. Thirty years later, California faces a local government funding problem, whereby some special districts get more revenue that they need and other general service special districts, cities and counties are consistently starving for revenue. The discussion is whether it makes public policy sense to re-look as to who gets a portion of property taxes and/or the means by which the formula is set.

Program Objectives:

- 1. Engender a lively discussion on AB-8
- 2. Possibly generate ideas that the attendees can take back to their counties and legislators
- 3. Possibly generate ideas that CALAFCO can use to partner with sister agencies

Timeline: At least an hour-long general session discussion.

Presenters – TBD, but envisioned as a moderated discussion between an academic specializing in local government finances, a practitioner (ideally a commissioner) and a legislative staffer

The presenters will encourage questions from the audience and interactive discussion about this issue.

Contact: José C. Henríquez, Executive Officer, El Dorado LAFCO

PRESENTATION PROPOSAL

Title of Presentation: Some Potential Ideas include:

• Water Update: California's Challenging Water Situation

Concise Description of the Session, specifically summarizing content in no more than 200 words:

The session will focus on California's water situation on a Statewide, Regional and Local levels. The Session will focus on the following issues/topics covered from a Statewide, Regional and Local viewpoint:

- Water Status Report given our last winter. Where is the State at overall. What regions are good what regions are hurting, what local areas are in trouble
- What shifts in policy and laws have occurred to address the water problem?
 - State, Regional and Local levels
- What solutions are on the horizon?
- How should we be addressing the water problem?
- What are examples of collaboration? Examples of polarization?
- How does this situation impact LAFCOs?

<u>Learning objectives, described from the attendees' standpoint: what will attendees be able to do on completion of the session:</u>

- 1. Update conference participants on the Statewide Water situation
- 2. Update conference participants on the regional Water situation
- 3. Update conference participants on the local Water situation
- 4. Identify problems and solutions on the State, Regional and Local levels
- 5. Respond to audience questions and issues.

Proposed Timeline of the Session:

Speaker #1 State Representative 10-15 minutes

Speaker #2 Regional Perspective South 10-15 minutes

Speaker #3 Regional Perspective North 10-15 minutes

Speaker #4 Local Perspective 10-15 minutes

0-15 minutes Q & A

Each presenter's name, title, and brief biography:

A panel consisting of State DWR Staff/Regional Organizations from North and South ACWA, etc. /Local County, City or District Representatives

Contact information for the proposer:

David Church, Executive Officer - San Luis Obispo LAFCO - Dchurch@slolafco.com - 805-788-2096

LAFCO Business Use Case for GIS: Boundary Change Research tools

Name: E. Teñell Matlovsky

Proposal #6

Title: Survey Supervisor, Santa Barbara County Surveyors Office

Short biography:

E. Teñell Matlovsky, PLS, a native of Santa Barbara, has been employed by the County Surveyor's Office for over 15 years, and worked as a GIS expert serving Santa Barbara County's 2011 Supervisorial District Boundaries Redistricting process. He was Santa Barbara County's Employee of the Month in May 2012. He holds a Bachelors degree in Public Sector Management from California State University, Northridge and is a state-licensed Professional Land Surveyor (PLS). Teñell takes pride in improving spatial information systems and utilizing emerging technologies, vital both for current needs and leaving a legacy for the future.

Contact info:

tmatlov@cosbpw.net (805) 568-3338 www.countyofsb.org/pwd/surveyor/

Proposed Timeline:

I. Intro, Applicable LAFCO Policy	10 min
II. Key stakeholders and change agents	5 min
IV. Case Study Challenge	10 min
V. Walkthrough of Applied Model	30 min
VI. Conclusion	10 min
Q&A	<u>15 min</u>
	Total: 80 min

LAFCO Business Use Case for GIS: Boundary Change Research tools

Concise description (183 words):

Proposal #6

Before an area of interest can receive municipal services, applicants and their agents (engineers and developers) apply to LAFCO to make jurisdictional boundary changes, including annexation or reorganization proposals. One technical requirement necessary to process a boundary change application is for the applicant to reference the proposed area relative to previously recorded boundary actions.

A common pain point during this process is determining and obtaining the records that pertain to areas of interest, necessary to create the proposal application, as well as for agencies to review the proposal for accuracy and completeness. To help alleviate resource challenges for this process, a creative technology-based solution can serve both the public and private businesses engaging in boundary change activities.

This presentation illustrates an example of an effective model to share resources, including best practices for solving information access issues encountered by LAFCO commissioners, business operations staff, applicants, developers, engineers and land surveyors. Intergovernmental collaboration between Santa Barbara County Surveyor's Office and SBLAFCO is utilizing a cloud-based interactive mapping system, SimpleLayers.com, as a Geographic Information System (GIS) to prepare for LAFCO boundary change action proposals.

Learning Objectives – Upon completion of the session, attendees will be able to:

- 1) Search for a specific parcel or area of interest.
- 2) Research an area of interest based on assessor's parcel numbers (APNs), aerial photography, roadways, waterways, and adjacent special districts.
- 3) Identify (visually & spatially) areas of infill for district expansion, or areas of jurisdictional overlap.
- 4) Determine the recorded documents defining jurisdictional boundaries covering or adjacent to an area of interest.

Title of Program: <u>Public Officials' Ethics Training</u>:

This covers the ethics law subjects required of public officials by California law (AB 1234 - Government Code § 53235). 2 hour live program - 5 mandated topics. Law: Financial conflicts, perks and duties of office, transparency, due process. Ethics: Doing the right thing.

Program Objectives:

- 1. Remind officials what law requires per AB 1234. Relate ethics laws and principles to LAFCO good government goals.
- 2. Alert officials to kinds of conduct and relationships that can get them in trouble. Warn of consequences of even appearance of impropriety.
- 3. Encourage compliance, ethical conduct, and communication.
- 4. Enable AB 1234 credit for commissioners, other officials; CLE for lawyers. (POET qualifies for CLE credit but not legal ethics specialty credit.)

<u>Timeline</u>: Program requires 2 hours for POET credit. Could do 2 1-hour sessions or stretch a 90-minute slot (start early). Avoid time conflict with CALAFCO CLE presentation.

<u>Presenters</u> – <u>Santa Barbara LAFCO</u>:

Paul Hood, LAFCO Executive Officer

William Dillon, Santa Barbara County Counsel Senior Deputy & LAFCO Counsel Shane Stark, LAFCO Alternate Public Member, active member of State Bar, retired Santa Barbara County Counsel.

The presenters will encourage questions from the audience and interactive discussion about ethics issues that arise in LAFCO and other contexts. There will be accompanying slides (est. 75-90) and possibly other written handouts.

Contact: Paul Hood, Executive Officer <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

2016 CALAFCO ANNUAL CONFERENCE

Proposal #8

PRESENTATION PROPOSAL

Title of Presentation: Local Producer Steve Nicolaides and groundwater documentary

Concise Description of the Session, specifically summarizing content in no more than 200 words

45 minute documentary film followed by Q&A, would probably work well with General Session. Steve is available.

Contact: Paul Hood/Jeff Moorhouse

<u>Jeff.Moorhouse@raymondjames.com</u>

hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

LAFCO 2016 Annual Conference

Water Supply in Santa Barbara County

Name: Thomas D. Fayram

Title: Deputy Public Works Director, Water Resources Division

BIOGRAPHY OF THOMAS D. FAYRAM, P.E.

Mr. Fayram is a Deputy Director for the County of Santa Barbara, Public Works Department. Mr. Fayram has held this position since February of 1994 and is responsible for the operations of the County Flood Control District, Project Clean Water NPDES Program, and the County Water Agency. In all Mr. Fayram has over 31 years of professional Water Resources experience, the last 30 years being at the County of Santa Barbara.

Mr. Fayram received his Bachelor of Science Degree in Civil Engineering from San Diego State University. Mr. Fayram is a Registered Civil Engineer in the State of California and is a Certified Floodplain Manager.

Contact info:

tfayram@cosbpw.net 805-568-3436

Proposed Timeline:

I.	Intro to Santa Barbara County Water Supplies	s 15 Min
II.	Current Drought Impacts / Lessons Learned	30 Min
III.	Q&A	15 Min
	To	otal: 60 Min

Abstract:

Santa Barbara County has suffered through drought periods and has developed a variety of Water Supplies. The Drought of 2011 to Present has exposed yet more issues in an evolving water supply picture. While having access to many sources of water, each source has its own challenges in terms of cost, availability, and reliability. In this presentation, the various sources of water will be discussed and issues encountered in the current Drought.



EXHIBIT C