LAFCO

Santa Barbara Local Agency Formation Commission 105 East Anapamu Street ◆ Santa Barbara CA 93101 805/568-3391 ◆ FAX 805/568-2249 www.sblafco.org ◆ lafco@sblafco.org

August 3, 2017 (Agenda)

Local Agency Formation Commission 105 East Anapamu Street Santa Barbara CA 93101

Consider Adoption of a Support Position on Senate Bill 448 (Wieckowski) and Provide Direction on the Little Hoover Commission

Dear Members of the Commission

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. Consider a Support Position on Senate Bill 448 (Wieckowski)
- 2. Provide Direction on the Little Hoover Commission

DISSCUSSION

Senate Bill 448 (Wieckowski)

CALAFCO was able to negotiate a number of changes to SB 448(Wieckowski). These changes are as follows:

- 1. 56073.1 change in definition of "resolution of application" will be added per our suggested amendment
- 2. 56042 the recommended changes to the definition of "inactive district" as requested by the SCO are being accepted.
- 3. 56879 (c)(1) will be changed to our suggested amendment: "Chapters 1 through 7 of Part 4 of Division 3 of Title 5 of the Government Code.
- 4. 56880 current language still does not address districts created by special legislation that do not have a required funding deadline and that will be corrected.
- 5. 56879 (c) will be amended to read: "The commission shall hold one public hearing on the dissolution of an inactive district pursuant to this section <u>no more than 90 days</u> following the adoption of the resolution initiating dissolution".

Based on the changes that provide a streamlined process for the dissolution of inactive special districts, and leave LAFCO involved in the process, the Commission should consider changing its position on the bill to support.

Little Hoover Commission:

The Little Hoover Commission is scheduled to meet again on August 24, 2017. CALAFCO has established a working group to participate in the process. Attached is a summary of the issues. Direction from the Commission is requested.

Exhibits:

Exhibit A

Senate Bill 448 ((Wieckowski)

Exhibit B

Little Hoover Commission Potential Recommendations

Please contact the LAFCO office if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

PAUL HOOD

Executive Officer



Home

Bill Information

California Law

Publications

Other Resources

My Subscriptions

My Favorites

SB-448 Local government: organization: districts. (2017-2018)



Date Published: 07/18/2017 04:00 AM

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JULY 17, 2017

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JULY 03, 2017

AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 26, 2017

AMENDED IN SENATE MAY 02, 2017

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 18, 2017

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 04, 2017

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE - 2017-2018 REGULAR SESSION

SENATE BILL

No. 448

Introduced by Senator Wieckowski

February 15, 2017

An act to amend Sections 26909, 56073.1, and 56375 of, to add Sections 12463.4 and 56042 to, and to add Article 6 (commencing with Section 56879) to Chapter 5 of Part 3 of Division 3 of Title 5 of, the Government Code, relating to local government.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 448, as amended, Wieckowski. Local government: organization: districts.

(1) Existing law requires the officer of each local agency, as defined, who has charge of the financial records of the local agency, to furnish to the Controller a report of all the financial transactions of the local agency during the next preceding fiscal year within 7 months after the close of each fiscal year. Existing law also requires a report of an audit of a special district's accounts and records made by a certified public accountant or public accountant to be filed with the Controller and the county auditor of the county in which the special district is located within 12 months of the end of the fiscal year or years under examination.

This bill would instead require special districts defined by a specified provision to file those audit reports with the Controller and special districts defined by another specified provision to file those audit reports with the Controller and with the local agency formation commission of either the county in which the special district is located or, if the special district is located in 2 or more counties, with each local agency formation commission within each county in which the district is located. The bill would also require the Controller to publish on the Controller's Internet Web site a comprehensive list of special districts on or before July 1, 2019, and to annually update that (2) The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 provides the exclusive authority and procedure for the initiation, conduct, and completion of changes of organization and reorganization for cities and districts, as specified.

This bill would require the Controller to create a list of special districts that are inactive, as provided. The bill would also require the Controller to publish this list and to notify a local agency formation commission in the county or counties in which the special district is located if the Controller has included the special district in this list. The bill would require a local agency formation commission to initiate proceedings for the dissolution of any special district that is an inactive district and to dissolve those districts. The bill would define the term "inactive district" for these purposes. This bill would also make conforming changes. By increasing the duties of local officials, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

(3) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority Appropriation: no Fiscal Committee: yes Local Program: yes

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO ENACT AS FOLLOWS:

SECTION 1. Section 12463.4 is added to the Government Code, to read:

- **12463.4.** On or before July 1, 2019, the Controller shall publish on the Controller's Internet Web site a comprehensive list of special districts. The Controller shall update the list every year thereafter. For purposes of this section, the term "special district" means an "independent district" or "independent special district" as those terms are defined in Section 56044.
- **SEC. 2.** Section 26909 of the Government Code, as amended by Section 1 of Chapter 164 of the Statutes of 2016, is amended to read:
- **26909.** (a) (1) The county auditor shall either make or contract with a certified public accountant or public accountant to make an annual audit of the accounts and records of every special district within the county for which an audit by a certified public accountant or public accountant is not otherwise provided. In each case, the minimum requirements of the audit shall be prescribed by the Controller and shall conform to generally accepted auditing standards.
- (2) (A) If an audit of a special district's accounts and records is made by a certified public accountant or public accountant, the minimum requirements of the audit shall be prescribed by the Controller and shall conform to generally accepted auditing standards.
- (B) A report of the audit required pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be filed within 12 months of the end of the fiscal year or years under examination as follows:
- (i) For a special district defined in paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 12463, with the Controller.
- (ii) For a special district defined in Section 56036, with the Controller and with the local agency formation commission of the county in which the special district is located, unless the special district is located in two or more counties, then with each local agency formation commission within each county in which the district is located.
- (3) Any costs incurred by the county auditor, including contracts with, or employment of, certified public accountants or public accountants, in making an audit of every special district pursuant to this section shall be borne by the special district and shall be a charge against any unencumbered funds of the district available for the purpose.
- (4) For a special district that is located in two or more counties, this subdivision shall apply to the auditor of the county in which the treasury is located.
- (5) The county controller, or ex officio county controller, shall effect this section in those counties having a county controller or ex officio county controller.
- (b) A special district may, by unanimous request of the governing board of the special district and with unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit required by this section with one of the following, performed in accordance with professional standards, as determined by the county auditor:

- (1) A biennial audit covering a two-year period.
- (2) An audit covering a five-year period if the special district's annual revenues do not exceed an amount specified by the board of supervisors.
- (3) An audit conducted at specific intervals, as recommended by the county auditor, that shall be completed at least once every five years.
- (c) (1) A special district may, by unanimous request of the governing board of the special district and with unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit required by this section with a financial review, or an agreed-upon procedures engagement, in accordance with the appropriate professional standards, as determined by the county auditor, if the following conditions are met:
- (A) All of the special district's revenues and expenditures are transacted through the county's financial system.
- (B) The special district's annual revenues do not exceed one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000).
- (C) The special district shall pay for any costs incurred by the county auditor in performing an agreed-upon procedures engagement. Those costs shall be charged against any unencumbered funds of the district available for that purpose.
- (2) If the board of supervisors is the governing board of the special district, it may, upon unanimous approval, replace the annual audit of the special district required by this section with a financial review, or an agreed-upon procedures engagement, in accordance with the appropriate professional standards, as determined by the county auditor, if the special district satisfies the requirements of subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1).
- (d) (1) A special district may, by annual unanimous request of the governing board of the special district and with annual unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit required by this section with an annual financial compilation of the special district to be performed by the county auditor in accordance with professional standards, if all of the following conditions are met:
- (A) All of the special district's revenues and expenditures are transacted through the county's financial system.
- (B) The special district's annual revenues do not exceed one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000).
- (C) The special district shall pay for any costs incurred by the county auditor in performing a financial compilation. Those costs shall be a charge against any unencumbered funds of the district available for that purpose.
- (2) A special district shall not replace an annual audit required by this section with an annual financial compilation of the special district pursuant to paragraph (1) for more than five consecutive years, after which a special district shall comply with subdivision (a).
- (e) Notwithstanding this section, a special district shall be exempt from the requirement of an annual audit if the financial statements are audited by the Controller to satisfy federal audit requirements.
- (f) Upon receipt of the financial review, agreed-upon procedures engagement, or financial compilation, the county auditor shall have the right to appoint, pursuant to subdivision (a), a certified public accountant or a public accountant to conduct an audit of the special district, with proper notice to the governing board of the special district and board of supervisors.
- (g) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2027, and as of that date is repealed.
- **SEC. 3.** Section 26909 of the Government Code, as added by Section 2 of Chapter 164 of the Statutes of 2016, is amended to read:
- **26909.** (a) (1) The county auditor shall either make or contract with a certified public accountant or public accountant to make an annual audit of the accounts and records of every special district within the county for which an audit by a certified public accountant or public accountant is not otherwise provided. In each case, the minimum requirements of the audit shall be prescribed by the Controller and shall conform to generally accepted auditing standards.
- (2) (A) If an audit of a special district's accounts and records is made by a certified public accountant or public accountant, the minimum requirements of the audit shall be prescribed by the Controller and shall conform to generally accepted auditing standards.

- (B) A report of the audit required pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be filed within 12 months of the end of the fiscal year or years under examination as follows:
- (i) For a special district defined in paragraph (2) of subdivision (d) of Section 12463, with the Controller.
- (ii) For a special district defined in Section 56036, with the Controller and with the local agency formation commission of the county in which the special district is located, unless the special district is located in two or more counties, then with each local agency formation commission within each county in which the district is located.
- (3) Any costs incurred by the county auditor, including contracts with, or employment of, certified public accountants or public accountants, in making an audit of every special district pursuant to this section shall be borne by the special district and shall be a charge against any unencumbered funds of the district available for the purpose.
- (4) For a special district that is located in two or more counties, this subdivision shall apply to the auditor of the county in which the treasury is located.
- (5) The county controller, or ex officio county controller, shall effect this section in those counties having a county controller or ex officio county controller.
- (b) A special district may, by unanimous request of the governing board of the special district and with unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit required by this section with one of the following, performed in accordance with professional standards, as determined by the county auditor:
- (1) A biennial audit covering a two-year period.
- (2) An audit covering a five-year period if the special district's annual revenues do not exceed an amount specified by the board of supervisors.
- (3) An audit conducted at specific intervals, as recommended by the county auditor, that shall be completed at least once every five years.
- (c) (1) A special district may, by unanimous request of the governing board of the special district and with unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit required by this section with a financial review, in accordance with the appropriate professional standards, as determined by the county auditor, if the following conditions are met:
- (A) All of the special district's revenues and expenditures are transacted through the county's financial system.
- (B) The special district's annual revenues do not exceed one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000).
- (2) If the board of supervisors is the governing board of the special district, it may, upon unanimous approval, replace the annual audit of the special district required by this section with a financial review in accordance with the appropriate professional standards, as determined by the county auditor, if the special district satisfies the requirements of subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1).
- (d) Notwithstanding this section, a special district shall be exempt from the requirement of an annual audit if the financial statements are audited by the Controller to satisfy federal audit requirements.
- (e) This section shall become operative on January 1, 2027.
- SEC. 4. Section 56042 is added to the Government Code, to read:
- **56042.** "Inactive district" means a special district that meets all of the following:
- (a) The special district is as defined in Section 56036.
- (b) The special district has had no financial transactions in the previous fiscal year.
- (c) The special district has no assets. assets and liabilities.
- (d) The special district has no fund equity.
- (e)
- (d) The special district has no outstanding debts, judgments, litigation, contracts, liens, claims, or postemployment liabilities. or claims.

- SEC. 5. Section 56073.1 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- **56073.1.** "Resolution of application" means the document adopted by a local agency or school district initiating a change of organization or reorganization pursuant to Section—56654. 56654 or the document adopted by a commission pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 56375 or by subdivision (c) of Section 56879.
- SEC. 5. SEC. 6. Section 56375 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- **56375.** The commission shall have all of the following powers and duties subject to any limitations upon its jurisdiction set forth in this part:
- (a) (1) To review and approve with or without amendment, wholly, partially, or conditionally, or disapprove proposals for changes of organization or reorganization, consistent with written policies, procedures, and guidelines adopted by the commission.
- (2) The commission may initiate proposals by resolution of application for any of the following:
- (A) The consolidation of a district, as defined in Section 56036.
- (B) The dissolution of a district.
- (C) A merger.
- (D) The establishment of a subsidiary district.
- (E) The formation of a new district or districts.
- (F) A reorganization that includes any of the changes specified in subparagraph (A), (B), (C), (D), or (E).
- (G) The dissolution of an inactive district pursuant to Section 56879.
- (3) A commission may initiate a proposal described in paragraph (2) only if that change of organization or reorganization is consistent with a recommendation or conclusion of a study prepared pursuant to Section 56378, 56425, or 56430, and the commission makes the determinations specified in subdivision (b) of Section 56881.
- (4) A commission shall not disapprove an annexation to a city, initiated by resolution, of contiguous territory that the commission finds is any of the following:
- (A) Surrounded or substantially surrounded by the city to which the annexation is proposed or by that city and a county boundary or the Pacific Ocean if the territory to be annexed is substantially developed or developing, is not prime agricultural land as defined in Section 56064, is designated for urban growth by the general plan of the annexing city, and is not within the sphere of influence of another city.
- (B) Located within an urban service area that has been delineated and adopted by a commission, which is not prime agricultural land, as defined by Section 56064, and is designated for urban growth by the general plan of the annexing city.
- (C) An annexation or reorganization of unincorporated islands meeting the requirements of Section 56375.3.
- (5) As a condition to the annexation of an area that is surrounded, or substantially surrounded, by the city to which the annexation is proposed, the commission may require, where consistent with the purposes of this division, that the annexation include the entire island of surrounded, or substantially surrounded, territory.
- (6) A commission shall not impose any conditions that would directly regulate land use density or intensity, property development, or subdivision requirements.
- (7) The decision of the commission with regard to a proposal to annex territory to a city shall be based upon the general plan and prezoning of the city. When the development purposes are not made known to the annexing city, the annexation shall be reviewed on the basis of the adopted plans and policies of the annexing city or county. A commission shall require, as a condition to annexation, that a city prezone the territory to be annexed or present evidence satisfactory to the commission that the existing development entitlements on the territory are vested or are already at build-out, and are consistent with the city's general plan. However, the commission shall not specify how, or in what manner, the territory shall be prezoned.
- (8) (A) Except for those changes of organization or reorganization authorized under Section 56375.3, and except as provided by subparagraph (B); a commission shall not approve an annexation to a city of any territory greater than 10 acres, or as determined by commission policy, where there exists a disadvantaged unincorporated

community that is contiguous to the area of proposed annexation, unless an application to annex the disadvantaged unincorporated community to the subject city has been filed with the executive officer.

- (B) An application to annex a contiguous disadvantaged community shall not be required if either of the following apply:
- (i) A prior application for annexation of the same disadvantaged community has been made in the preceding five years.
- (ii) The commission finds, based upon written evidence, that a majority of the registered voters within the affected territory are opposed to annexation.
- (b) With regard to a proposal for annexation or detachment of territory to, or from, a city or district or with regard to a proposal for reorganization that includes annexation or detachment, to determine whether territory proposed for annexation or detachment, as described in its resolution approving the annexation, detachment, or reorganization, is inhabited or uninhabited.
- (c) With regard to a proposal for consolidation of two or more cities or districts, to determine which city or district shall be the consolidated successor city or district.
- (d) To approve the annexation of unincorporated, noncontiguous territory, subject to the limitations of Section 56742, located in the same county as that in which the city is located, and that is owned by a city and used for municipal purposes and to authorize the annexation of the territory without notice and hearing.
- (e) To approve the annexation of unincorporated territory consistent with the planned and probable use of the property based upon the review of general plan and prezoning designations. No subsequent change may be made to the general plan for the annexed territory or zoning that is not in conformance to the prezoning designations for a period of two years after the completion of the annexation, unless the legislative body for the city makes a finding at a public hearing that a substantial change has occurred in circumstances that necessitate a departure from the prezoning in the application to the commission.
- (f) With respect to the incorporation of a new city or the formation of a new special district, to determine the number of registered voters residing within the proposed city or special district or, for a landowner-voter special district, the number of owners of land and the assessed value of their land within the territory proposed to be included in the new special district. The number of registered voters shall be calculated as of the time of the last report of voter registration by the county elections official to the Secretary of State prior to the date the first signature was affixed to the petition. The executive officer shall notify the petitioners of the number of registered voters resulting from this calculation. The assessed value of the land within the territory proposed to be included in a new landowner-voter special district shall be calculated as shown on the last equalized assessment roll.
- (g) To adopt written procedures for the evaluation of proposals, including written definitions consistent with existing state law. The commission may adopt standards for any of the factors enumerated in Section 56668. Any standards adopted by the commission shall be written.
- (h) To adopt standards and procedures for the evaluation of service plans submitted pursuant to Section 56653 and the initiation of a change of organization or reorganization pursuant to subdivision (a).
- (i) To make and enforce regulations for the orderly and fair conduct of hearings by the commission.
- (j) To incur usual and necessary expenses for the accomplishment of its functions.
- (k) To appoint and assign staff personnel and to employ or contract for professional or consulting services to carry out and effect the functions of the commission.
- (I) To review the boundaries of the territory involved in any proposal with respect to the definiteness and certainty of those boundaries, the nonconformance of proposed boundaries with lines of assessment or ownership, and other similar matters affecting the proposed boundaries.
- (m) To waive the restrictions of Section 56744 if it finds that the application of the restrictions would be detrimental to the orderly development of the community and that the area that would be enclosed by the annexation or incorporation is so located that it cannot reasonably be annexed to another city or incorporated as a new city.
- (n) To waive the application of Section 22613 of the Streets and Highways Code if it finds the application would deprive an area of a service needed to ensure the health, safety, or welfare of the residents of the area and if it

finds that the waiver would not affect the ability of a city to provide any service. However, within 60 days of the inclusion of the territory within the city, the legislative body may adopt a resolution nullifying the waiver.

- (o) If the proposal includes the incorporation of a city, as defined in Section 56043, or the formation of a district, as defined in Section 2215 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, the commission shall determine the property tax revenue to be exchanged by the affected local agencies pursuant to Section 56810.
- (p) To authorize a city or district to provide new or extended services outside its jurisdictional boundaries pursuant to Section 56133.
- (q) To enter into an agreement with the commission for an adjoining county for the purpose of determining procedures for the consideration of proposals that may affect the adjoining county or where the jurisdiction of an affected agency crosses the boundary of the adjoining county.
- (r) To approve with or without amendment, wholly, partially, or conditionally, or disapprove pursuant to this section the annexation of territory served by a mutual water company formed pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 14300) of Division 3 of Title 1 of the Corporations Code that operates a public water system to a city or special district. Any annexation approved in accordance with this subdivision shall be subject to the state and federal constitutional prohibitions against the taking of private property without the payment of just compensation. This subdivision shall not impair the authority of a public agency or public utility to exercise eminent domain authority.
- **SEC. 6.SEC. 7.** Article 6 (commencing with Section 56879) is added to Chapter 5 of Part 3 of Division 3 of Title 5 of the Government Code, to read:

Article 6. Inactive Special Districts

- **56879.** (a) On or before November 1, 2018, and every year thereafter, the Controller shall create a list of special districts that are inactive, as defined in Section 56042, based upon the financial reports received by the Controller pursuant to Section 53891. The Controller shall publish the list of inactive districts on the Controller's Internet Web site. The Controller shall also notify the commission in the county or counties in which the district is located if the Controller has included the district in this list.
- (b) The commission shall initiate dissolution of inactive districts by resolution within 90 days of receiving notification from the Controller pursuant to subdivision (a), unless the commission determines that the district does not meet the criteria set forth in Section 56042. The commission shall notify the Controller if the commission determines that a district does not meet the criteria set forth in Section 56042.
- (c) The commission shall dissolve inactive districts. The commission shall hold one public hearing on the dissolution of an inactive district pursuant to this-section. section no more than 90 days following the adoption of the resolution initiating dissolution. The dissolution of an inactive district shall not be subject to any of the following:
- (1)Sections 57008, 57077.1, and 57102.
- (1) Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 57000) to Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 57176), inclusive, of Part 4.
- (2) Determinations pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 56881.
- (3) Requirements for commission-initiated changes of organization described in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 56375.
- (d)If the Controller receives substantial evidence that a district does not meet the criteria set forth in Section 56042, the Controller shall remove the district from the inactive list created pursuant to subdivision (a) and notify the commission in the county or counties in which the district is located.
- **56880.** This article shall not apply to a special district formed by special legislation—during the period of time in which the district is authorized to obtain funding. that is required by its enabling statute to obtain funding within a specified period of time or be dissolved. That district shall not be subject to this article during that specified period of time.
- SEC. 7.SEC. 8. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or

assessments sufficient to pay for the program or level of service mandated by this act, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code.

State of California



LITTLE HOOVER COMMISSION

Witnesses at Little Hoover Commission's August and October 2016 public hearings and participants at the November 2016 advisory committee proposed numerous recommendations for consideration. At various business meetings in 2017, the Commission discussed these and other potential recommendations. A summary of potential recommendations currently under consideration follows.

The June 22 roundtable discussion has been convened to consider if these recommendations are helpful, can be implemented or might have unintended consequences. The Commission also welcomes discussion on alternative suggestions. The primary focus of the roundtable meeting discussion will be on the recommendations related to governance and transparency, although potential recommendations focusing on climate change adaptation and healthcare districts also are included in this summary.

GOVERNANCE - POTENTIAL RECOMMENDATIONS

- The Legislature, in committee hearings and floor votes, as well as the Governor in bill signings, should curtail a growing practice of introducing bills to override LAFCO deliberative processes and decide local issues regarding special district boundaries and operations.
- The Legislature should provide one-time grant funding to pay for specified LAFCO activities, particularly to fund certain critical Municipal Service Reviews (MSRs) and to incentivize LAFCOs or smaller special districts to develop and implement dissolution or consolidation plans with timelines for expected outcomes. This grant process potentially could be overseen by the Governor's Office of Planning and Research. Funding should be tied to process completion and results, including enforcement authority for corrective action and consolidation.
- Alternatively or additionally, augment the existing LAFCO funding formula by allocating a
 certain percentage of local property taxes to fund LAFCOs as suggested in testimony from
 the California Association of Local Agency Formation Commissions (CALAFCO).
- After conducting a Municipal Service Review and finding dissolution or consolidation of special districts is warranted, provide LAFCOs the authority to initiate dissolutions or consolidations with a higher threshold for a public vote.
- Require special districts to hold a public hearing on findings and recommendations after the completion of a Municipal Service Review.
- The Legislature should provide LAFCOs the statutory authority to do reviews of inactive districts throughout California and dissolve them without the action being subject to protest and a costly election process. SB 448 (Wiekowski) would implement this recommendation. The bill was unanimously adopted by the Senate in May 2017, and currently is under consideration by the Assembly. As currently written, the bill also would require each county tax bill to list special district taxes and would require the State Controller, by 2019, to annually publish a list of all special districts in California.
- The Legislature should strengthen LAFCOs by easing a process to add special district representatives to the 28 county LAFCOs where districts have no voice.
- The Legislature should adopt legislation to give LAFCO members fixed terms, to ease political pressures in controversial votes and enhance the independence of LAFCOs.

TRANSPARENCY – POTENTIAL RECOMMENDATIONS

- Every LAFCO website should provide basic information and links to all of the special districts within
 each county service area, including a standardized dashboard reflecting revenues from property
 taxes and user fees, debt service and fund balance changes.
- Every special district should have a published policy for reserve funds, including the size and purpose of reserves and how they are invested.
- Every special district should have a website that provides the following information in an easy-to-understand format:
 - ✓ Name, location, contact data
 - ✓ Services provided
 - ✓ Governing body, including election information and the process for constituents to run for board positions
 - ✓ Compensation details total staff compensation, including salary, pensions and benefits
 - ✓ Compensation details for the five staff with highest compensation (including salary, benefits, pensions, loans, annual leave balances, annual travel expenses)
 - ✓ Budget (including revenues and expenditures, bond debt and the source of revenues, including fees, property taxes and other assessments, as well as other revenue)
 - ✓ Reserve fund policy
 - ✓ An explanation of how the revenue sources are consistent with state law and do not constitute a permissible tax
 - ✓ Geographic area served and demographic data based on available census data
 - ✓ Average and median customer fees and other customer charges
 - Description of relationship and coordination with other local government agencies
 - ✓ Copy of most recent Municipal Service Review
 - ✓ Copy of most recent annual report provided to the State Controller's Office
 - ✓ State and local agencies providing oversight of operations, compliance with state laws and financial reporting and audits and frequency of such reviews and links to the oversight bodies websites
- The California Special Districts Association, working with experts in public outreach and engagement, should develop best practices for independent special district outreach to the public on opportunities to serve on boards and special district elections including election results and voter participation data.
- The State Controller's Office should disaggregate information provided by independent special districts from dependent districts, nonprofits and joint powers authorities on its By the Numbers and Employee Compensation websites. (SB 448 would require the State Controller to list all special districts on its website by 2019.)
- The State Controller's Office should standardize definitions of special district financial reserves for state reporting purposes.
- The Secretary of State, working with county, city and special district representatives and the State Controller, should streamline or consolidate its public agency reporting requirements.

CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION – POTENTIAL RECOMMENDATIONS

- The Legislature should place a requirement in statute that special districts formally include climate adaptation and climate mitigation as key operational considerations within their governing documents and missions.
- The California Special Districts Association (CSDA), in conjunction with its member districts, should document and share climate adaptation experiences with the Integrated Climate Adaptation and Resilience Program's adaptation information clearinghouse being established within the Governor's Office of Planning and Research (OPR). Similarly, CSDA and member districts should step up engagement in the state's current Fourth Assessment of climate threats, a \$5 million state research project designed to support the implementation of local adaptation activities. The CSDA also should promote climate adaptation information sharing among its members to help districts with fewer resources plan for climate impacts and take actions.
- The Legislature should replicate statewide a program established by Oakland-based East Bay Municipal Utility District, in which real estate transactions trigger an inspection of sewer lines on the property and require repairs if broken. Or, as an alternative, it should commission a study of costs versus benefits – possibly by a university or the appropriate state department. Such a study would build long-term support, if feasible, for legislation.
- State regulatory agencies should explore the beginnings of a new regulatory framework and adaptive approach that incorporates moveable baselines when defining a status quo as climate impacts mount.
- The California Special Districts Association, and special districts, as some of the closest-to-theground local governments in California, should step up public engagement on climate adaptation, and inform and support people and businesses to take actions that increase their individual and community-wide defenses.
- The California Special Districts Association and special districts should lead efforts to seek and form regional partnerships to maximize climate adaptation resources and benefits.

HEALTHCARE DISTRICTS – POTENTIAL RECOMMENDATIONS

- The Legislature should work with the Association of California Healthcare Districts to enact proposals the association developed in 2016 to accomplish these two objectives:
 - ✓ Update the 1945 legislative "practice acts" that enabled voters to create local hospital districts, renamed healthcare districts in the early 1990s. Experts widely agree that statutory language in the acts no longer reflects rapid changes in healthcare during the past half century, especially regarding roles of healthcare districts without hospitals.
 - ✓ Make healthcare districts directly respond to local healthcare needs by conducting needs assessments every three years and demonstrate annually how they are addressing those needs. This information will be shared with the local LAFCO that oversees the district.

- The Legislature, which has been increasingly inclined to override local LAFCO processes to press changes on healthcare districts, should defer these decisions to LAFCOs, which in statute already have that responsibility.
- The Association of California Healthcare Districts and its member districts should step up efforts to define and share best practices among themselves.