LAFCO

Santa Barbara Local Agency Formation Commission
105 East Anapamu Street ◆ Santa Barbara CA 93101
805/568-3391 ◆ FAX 805/568-2249
www.sblafco.org ◆ lafco@sblafco.org

May 4, 2017 (Agenda)

Local Agency Formation Commission 105 East Anapamu Street Santa Barbara CA 93101

Consider Approval of 1). Recommended Positions on Pending Legislation, 2). CALAFCO 2017 Legislative Policies as a Guide to SBLAFCO, 3). Authorization to the Executive Officer to send Position letters on Pending Legislation, and 4). Update on Little Hoover Commission:

Dear Members of the Commission

The Santa Barbara LAFCO Legislative Committee held its first meeting on April 12, 2017 and identified a number of pending bills that could have an impact on LAFCO's statewide and SBLAFCO in particular. Since the Commission held its Regular meeting the next day on April 13th, staff was directed to return at the next meeting with recommendations. The following are bills that have been identified for Commission consideration and action. Copies of the bills are attached as **Exhibits A-G**.

- 1). Recommended Positions on Pending Legislation:
 - a) Assembly Bill 722 (Limon) re: County appointments to Isle Vista CSD Board Consider Legislative Committee recommendation that the Commission send a letter of opposition. The bill deals with determining that a member of the Board of Supervisors would be allowed to sit on the Isla Vista Community Services District Board of directors without it being an incompatible office.
 - b) Senate Bill 448 (Wieckowski) re: Inactive Districts Recommend Oppose, Unless Amended: Would require a local agency formation commission to dissolve any special district that is an inactive district. The bill would additionally require a local agency formation commission to initiate proceedings for the dissolution of idle districts, as specified. This bill would define the terms "inactive district" and "idle district" for these purposes. By increasing the duties of local officials, this bill would impose a statemandated local program. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

AB 448 is about to be amended in the Government and Finance Committee on April 6, 2017. CALAFCO's biggest concern with the bill is that LAFCO's will be

required to dissolve an "idle" district (definition in the bill – and different than inactive district) as an unfunded mandate subject to the normal – not streamlined – LAFCO initiated process (meaning special study, subject to protest, etc.). This will be the case for districts who have not filed reports with the State Controller's Office (SCO) for as many as 9 years – and makes the assumption that dissolution is the only path forward for them, without LAFCO reimbursement. As an aside, there are a lot of unfunded mandates in this bill affecting us, districts and the SCO.

- c) Assembly Bill 1725 Local Government Omnibus CALAFCO Sponsored-Recommend Support: The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, among other things, authorizes a local agency formation commission to establish a schedule of fees and a schedule of service charges for proceedings taken pursuant to the act, as specified. This bill would revise that provision to authorize a local agency formation commission to establish a schedule of fees and a schedule of service charges pursuant to the act. This is the annual Omnibus bill. The bill currently has one item and will be amended soon to include five (5) additional items.
- d) Assembly Bill 464 (Gallagher) Annexations- CALAFCO Sponsored Recommend Support: Under the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, current law requires that an applicant seeking a change of organization or reorganization submit a plan for providing services within the affected territory that includes, among other requirements, an enumeration and description of the services to be extended to the affected territory and an indication of when those services can feasibly be extended. This bill would specify that the plan is required to also include specific information regarding services currently provided to the affected territory, as applicable, and make related changes.
- e) Assembly Bill 979 (Lackey) Special District Seating on LAFCOs CALAFCO Sponsored Recommend Support: Current law dissolves redevelopment agencies and community development agencies, as of February 1, 2012, and designates successor agencies, as defined. Current law requires each successor agency to have an oversight board that is composed of 7 members who meet certain qualifications, including one member appointed by the independent special district selection committee. This bill would additionally require the executive officer to call and hold a meeting of the special district selection committee upon receipt of a written request by one or more members of the selection committee notifying the executive officer of a pending vacancy for the member representing independent special districts on an oversight board.

- f) Senate Bill 365 (Dodd) Creation of a Parks and Recreation District Circumvents LAFCO Recommend Oppose: Current law authorizes proceedings for the formation of a regional park and open-space or regional open-space district in specified counties in the state to be initiated by resolution of the county board of supervisors adopted after a noticed hearing, and specifies the contents of the resolution. This bill, in addition, would authorize the formation of a regional district in the County of Solano to be initiated by resolution of the county board of supervisors after a noticed hearing. The bill would specify the contents of the resolution, including the calling of an election, as prescribed.
- g) Senate Bill 634 (Wilk) Creation of the Santa Clarita Valley Water District Circumvents LAFCO Recommend Oppose: Current law, the Castaic Lake Water Agency Law, created the Castaic Lake Water Agency and authorizes the agency to acquire water and water rights, including water from the State Water Project, and to provide, sell, and deliver water at wholesale for municipal, industrial, domestic, and other purposes. This bill would repeal the Castaic Lake Water Agency Law. This bill contains other related provisions and other current laws.

2) CALAFCO 2017 Legislative Policies as a Guide to SBLAFCO

It would be extremely helpful to have a set of guidelines to direct staff and commissioner regarding legislative proposals. Many LAFCO's have adopted CALAFCO's Legislative Policies either on a permanent basis or until local policies are developed and approved.

Staff requests that the Commission review CALAFCO's 2017 Legislative Policies and approve them as a Guide for Santa Barbara LAFCO.

It is recommended that the Commission approve CALAFCO's 2017 Legislative Policies as a Guide to Santa Barbara LAFCO. The policies are attached as **Exhibit H.**

3). Authorization to the Executive Officer to send Position letters on Pending Legislation

In many instances, CALAFCO requested that letters of support or opposition for legislation affecting LAFCO be sent to State Legislators. Staff offers the following language to be added to the Commission's legislative policies to enable staff to respond in a timely manner:

"The Executive Officer is authorized to submit position letters that do not support unfunded mandates in accordance with the California Association of Local Agency Formation Commissions' (CALAFCO) annual legislative platform upon consultation with the Chair or Vice Chair when time does not allow approval by the Commission at a regular meeting."

Local Agency Formation Commission May 4, 2017 (Agenda) Page 4

4). Update on Little Hoover Commission:

The Little Hoover Commission (LHC) continues to meet to discuss the status of inactive special districts. Some of the items of discussion include the following:

(1) The Legislature should curtail a growing practice of introducing and passing bills that override existing LAFCO processes and authority; (2) Streamline the process for LAFCOs to dissolve inactive districts; (3) Consider fixed terms for LAFCO Commissioners (to avoid random removal); (4) Update the principal act for CA Housing and Community Development (HCD); and (5) Require HCDs to create community needs assessments, annually report their progress of meeting those needs, and file all reports with LAFCO for inclusion in MSRs.

LHC Information sheet attached as Exhibit I.

Staff will keep the Commission apprised of the progress of the LHC's discussions.

EXHIBITS:

Exhibit A	Assembly Bill 722 (Limon)
Exhibit B	Senate Bill 448 (Wieckowski)
Exhibit C	Assembly Bill 1725 (Local Government Committee)
Exhibit D	Assembly Bill 464 (Gallagher)
Exhibit E	Assembly Bill 979 (Lackey)
Exhibit F	Senate Bill (365 (Dodd)
Exhibit G	Senate Bill 634 (Wilk)
Exhibit H	CALAFCO's 2017 Legislative Policies
Exhibit I	Undate on Little Hoover Commission

Please contact the LAFCO office if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

PAUL HOOD Executive Officer

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 14, 2017

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2017–18 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 722

Introduced by Assembly Member Limón

February 15, 2017

An act to amend Section 61250 of the Government Code, relating to local government.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 722, as amended, Limón. Isla Vista Community Services District: board of directors.

Existing law authorizes the establishment of the Isla Vista Community Services District, as provided, for the performance of various services. Existing law specifies the composition of the board of directors of the district, that includes 5 members elected at large from within the district, one member appointed by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara, and one member appointed by the Chancellor of the University of California, Santa Barbara.

Existing law prohibits a public officer, including, but not limited to, an appointed or elected member of a governmental board, commission, committee, or other body, from simultaneously holding two public offices that are incompatible, as specified.

This bill would provide that service on the board of directors of the district by a member of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara or by any public officer of the County of Santa Barbara or his or her deputy shall not be considered an incompatible office.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

AB 722 -2

3

5

6 7

8

23

24

25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32 33 The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 61250 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:

- 61250. (a) Notwithstanding Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 61010) of Part 1, the Isla Vista Community Services District may be established in accordance with this part. All other provisions of this division shall apply to the Isla Vista Community Services District upon its establishment, except as provided in this part.
- (b) (1) On or before January 5, 2016, the Board of Supervisors 9 of the County of Santa Barbara shall file a resolution of application 10 11 with the Santa Barbara County Local Agency Formation Commission, pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 56654, to 12 initiate a comprehensive review and recommendation of the 13 formation of the district by the Santa Barbara County Local Agency 14 15 Formation Commission. The board of supervisors shall pay any fees associated with the resolution of application. 16
- 17 (2) The Santa Barbara County Local Agency Formation 18 Commission shall complete the review no later than 150 days 19 following receipt of the completed resolution of application. 20 Notwithstanding any other law, the Santa Barbara County Local 21 Agency Formation Commission shall not have the power to 22 disapprove the resolution of application.
 - (3) Notwithstanding any other law, the resolution of application filed by the board of supervisors pursuant to this subdivision shall not be subject to any protest proceedings.
 - (c) (1) The Santa Barbara County Local Agency Formation Commission shall order the formation of the district subject to a vote of the registered voters residing within the boundaries of the district, as those boundaries are set forth in subdivision (f), at an election following the completion of the review pursuant to subdivision (b). If a majority of voters within the boundaries of the district, as specified in subdivision (f), vote in favor of the district, the district shall be formed in accordance with this part.
- 34 (2) (A) The Santa Barbara Local Agency Formation 35 Commission shall determine the appropriate rate of taxation for a 36 utility user tax, applicable utilities to be taxed, and which services 37 the district will be initially authorized to provide, pursuant to 38 subdivision (d) and paragraph (5) of subdivision (g). The rate shall

-3- AB 722

be no lower than 5 percent and no higher than 8 percent of the total cost of an individual's service charge for the utility being taxed.

- (B) The utility user tax shall only be applied to electricity, garbage disposal, gas, sewage, or water services.
- (3) If the voters of the district do not vote to impose a utility user tax within the district on or before January 1, 2023, regardless of whether the establishment of the district is approved by the voters of the district, the district shall be dissolved as of that date.
- (4) The Santa Barbara Local Agency Formation Commission shall direct the Santa Barbara County Board of Supervisors to direct county officials to conduct the necessary elections on behalf of the proposed district and place the items on the ballot including district approval, candidates for the district's board, and the utility user tax pursuant to subparagraph (A) of paragraph (2) at the next countywide election, as provided in subdivision (f) of Section 61014.
- (d) (1) The initial utility user tax imposed by the district shall only be used to fund the following services and powers of the district:
- (A) Finance the operations of municipal advisory councils formed pursuant to Section 31010.
 - (B) Create a tenant mediation program.
- (C) Finance the operations of area planning commissions formed pursuant to Section 65101.
- (D) Exercise the powers of a parking district, in the same manner as a parking district formed pursuant to the Parking District Law of 1951 (Part 4 (commencing with Section 35100) of Division 18 of the Streets and Highways Code).
- (E) Contract with the County of Santa Barbara or the Regents of the University of California, or both, for additional police protection services to supplement the level of police protection services already provided by either the County of Santa Barbara or the Regents of the University of California within the area of the district.
- 35 (F) Acquire, construct, improve, maintain, and operate 36 community facilities, including, but not limited to, community 37 centers, libraries, theaters, museums, cultural facilities, and child 38 care facilities.
- 39 (G) Acquire, construct, improve, and maintain sidewalks, 40 lighting, gutters, and trees to supplement the level of service

AB 722 — 4—

already provided by either the County of Santa Barbara or County Service Area *No.* 31. The district shall not acquire, construct, improve, or maintain any work owned by another public agency unless that other public agency gives its written consent.

(H) Abate graffiti.

5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26 27

28 29

30 31

32 33

34

35

- (2) This subdivision shall not be construed to limit the services that may be funded by a tax imposed at a later date.
- (e) (1) Notwithstanding Chapter 1 (commencing with Section 61020), Chapter 2 (commencing with Section 61025), and Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 61040) of Part 2, the board of directors of the district shall be composed as follows:
- (A) Five members elected at large from within the district as follows:
 - (i) Four members shall be elected for terms of four years. For the first election of the board of directors of the district, two members shall be elected for a term of two years and two members shall be elected for a term of four years.
 - (ii) One member shall be elected for a term of two years.
 - (B) One member appointed by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara for a term of two years for the first appointment following the creation of the district, and for a term of four years thereafter.
 - (C) One member appointed by the Chancellor of the University of California, Santa Barbara for a term of four years.
- (2) (A) There shall be no limit on the number of terms any individual may serve on the board of directors of the district, whether that individual is appointed or elected.
- (B) The qualification of candidates for the initial board of directors shall be conducted pursuant to the Uniform District Election Law (Part 4 (commencing with Section 10500) of the Elections Code).
- (3) Notwithstanding any other law, service on the board of directors of the district by a member of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Santa Barbara or by any public officer of the County of Santa Barbara or his or her deputy shall not be considered an incompatible office.
- 37 (f) The boundaries of the district shall be contiguous with the 38 area known as County Service Area No. 31 within the County of 39 Santa Barbara and shall exclude any property owned by the Regents 40 of the University of California within those boundaries.

5 AB 722

(g) The district may, within its boundaries, do any of the following:

(1) Create a tenant mediation program.

1 2

- (2) Exercise the powers of a parking district, in the same manner as a parking district formed pursuant to the Parking District Law of 1951 (Part 4 (commencing with Section 35100) of Division 18 of the Streets and Highways Code).
- (3) Contract with the County of Santa Barbara or the Regents of the University of California, or both, for additional police protection services to supplement the level of police protection services already provided by either the County of Santa Barbara or the Regents of the University of California within the area of the district.
- (4) Acquire, construct, improve, and maintain sidewalks, lighting, gutters, and trees to supplement the level of service provided by either the County of Santa Barbara or County Service Area *No.* 31. The district shall not acquire, construct, improve, or maintain any work owned by another public agency unless that other public agency gives its written consent.
- (5) Levy a utility user tax proposed by resolution of the board of directors of the district and pursuant to approval by a two-thirds vote in accordance with Section 2 of Article XIII C of the California Constitution on the utilities of gas, water, electricity, sewer, or garbage disposal services. A utility user tax imposed by the district shall not apply to any utility provided by a telecommunications service provider.
- (6) Contract with the County of Santa Barbara, the Santa Barbara County Department of Planning and Development's Code Enforcement Program, or both, to provide Code Enforcement services to supplement the level of service provided by either the County of Santa Barbara or the Santa Barbara County Department of Planning and Development's Code Enforcement Program, or both. This includes, but is not limited to, contracting for dedicated Zoning Enforcement services pursuant to Chapter 35 of the Santa Barbara County Code, or contracting for dedicated Building Enforcement services pursuant to Chapters 10 and 14 of the Santa Barbara County Code. These contracted services may be proactive or reactive in their enforcement, as specified by the individual contract.

AB 722 — 6 —

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

(h) Following the creation of the district, the district may petition 1 2 the Santa Barbara Local Agency Formation Commission pursuant 3 to the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization 4 Act of 2000 (Division 3 (commencing with Section 56000) of Title 5) to exercise new or different functions or classes of services 5 6 listed in Section 61100, except those powers specified in 7 subdivisions (e) and (f) of that section, in addition to those 8 functions or services that were authorized at the time the district 9 was created.

- (i) The services provided by the district shall not supplant the level of services provided by the County of Santa Barbara, the Isla Vista Recreation and Park District, the University of California, Santa Barbara, or any other service provider.
- (j) The district does not possess, and shall not exercise, the power of eminent domain.
- (k) As used in this part, the term "district" means the Isla Vista Community Services District formed pursuant to this part.
- 18 (1) The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 (Division 3 (commencing with Section 19 56000) of Title 5) shall not apply to the formation of the district 20 pursuant to subdivisions (b) and (c), to the selection of functions 21 22 or services that may be provided pursuant to subdivision (d), or to 23 the selection of functions or services to be provided pursuant to 24 subdivision (g) upon establishment of the district, except as specified in this part. The act shall apply to any other change of 25 organization or reorganization as defined in that act, following the 26 establishment of the district, including, but not limited to, the 27 28 exercise of new or different functions or classes of services authorized pursuant to subdivision (g) or (h) that were not selected 29 30 upon establishment of the district.

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 18, 2017 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 4, 2017

SENATE BILL

No. 448

Introduced by Senator Wieckowski

February 15, 2017

An act to amend Section 26909 of, to add Sections 56040.5 12463.4, 56040.5, and 56042 to, and to add Article 9.5 (commencing with Section 53898) to Chapter 4 of Part 1 of Division 2 of, and to add Article 6 (commencing with Section 56879) to Chapter 5 of Part 3 of Division 3 of, Title 5-of of, the Government Code, and to amend Section 2611.6 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, relating to local government.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 448, as amended, Wieckowski. Local government: organization: districts.

(1) Existing law requires the officer of each local agency, as defined, who has charge of the financial records of the local agency, to furnish to the Controller a report of all the financial transactions of the local agency during the next preceding fiscal year within 90 days of the close of each fiscal year, or within 110 days if the report is furnished in an electronic format. Existing law also requires a report of an audit of a special district's accounts and records made by a certified public accountant or public accountant to be filed with the Controller and the county auditor of the county in which the special district is located within 12 months of the end of the fiscal year or years under examination.

This bill would require each special district, on or before September 1, 2018, and every 2 years thereafter, to submit an audit covering the previous 2 fiscal years to the Controller, as specified. that those audit

-2-

reports also be filed with the local agency formation commission of either the county in which the special district is located or, if the special district is located in 2 or more counties, the county containing the greatest percentage of the assessed value of taxable property of the district. This bill would require the Controller, on or before October 1, 2018, and every year thereafter, to create a list of idle districts, as defined, and notify each special district that has been identified as an idle district, on or before November 1, 2018, and every 2 years thereafter. This bill would require a each special district that has received notice that the Controller has identified the special district as an idle district to submit, within 180 days, with every audit or other specified documents filed with the Controller and the local agency formation commission, a statement of purpose, as specified. The bill would require the Controller to post each statement of purpose it receives on its Internet Web site. The bill would also require the Controller to publish on the Controller's Internet Web site a comprehensive list of special districts on or before July 1, 2019, and to annually update that list.

(2) The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 provides the exclusive authority and procedure for the initiation, conduct, and completion of changes of organization and reorganization for cities and districts, as specified.

This bill would require a local agency formation commission to dissolve any special district that is an inactive district. The bill would additionally require a local agency formation commission to initiate proceedings for the dissolution of idle districts, as specified. This bill would define the terms "inactive district" and "idle district" for these purposes. By increasing the duties of local officials, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

(3) Existing property tax law requires that each county tax bill, or a statement accompanying that bill, include specified information.

This bill would additionally require that each county tax bill, or a statement accompanying that bill, to include a list of all services provided by a city, county, special district, or school district that are funded by the general ad valorem property tax and any special taxes or special assessments levied. By increasing the duties of local officials, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

(4) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

-3- SB 448

This bill would provide that with regard to certain mandates no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

With regard to any other mandates, this bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs so mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 12463.4 is added to the Government Code, to read:

12463.4. On or before July 1, 2019, the Controller shall publish on the Controller's Internet Web site a comprehensive list of special districts. The Controller shall update the list every year thereafter.

7 SEC. 2. Section 26909 of the Government Code, as amended 8 by Section 1 of Chapter 164 of the Statutes of 2016, is amended 9 to read:

10 26909. (a) (1) The county auditor shall either make or contract with a certified public accountant or public accountant to make an 11 12 annual audit of the accounts and records of every special district 13 within the county for which an audit by a certified public accountant or public accountant is not otherwise provided. In each 14 15 case, the minimum requirements of the audit shall be prescribed 16 by the Controller and shall conform to generally accepted auditing 17 standards.

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

(2) If an audit of a special district's accounts and records is made by a certified public accountant or public accountant, the minimum requirements of the audit shall be prescribed by the Controller and shall conform to generally accepted auditing standards, and a report thereof shall be filed with the Controller and Controller, with the county auditor of the county in which the special district is—located, and with the local agency formation commission of the county in which the special district is located, unless the special district is located in two or more counties, then the county containing the greatest percentage of the assessed value of taxable property of the district. The report shall

SB 448 —4—

be filed within 12 months of the end of the fiscal year or years under examination.

- (3) Any costs incurred by the county auditor, including contracts with, or employment of, certified public accountants or public accountants, in making an audit of every special district pursuant to this section shall be borne by the special district and shall be a charge against any unencumbered funds of the district available for the purpose.
- (4) For a special district that is located in two or more counties, this subdivision shall apply to the auditor of the county in which the treasury is located.
- (5) The county controller, or ex officio county controller, shall effect this section in those counties having a county controller or ex officio county controller.
- (b) A special district may, by unanimous request of the governing board of the special district and with unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit required by this section with one of the following, performed in accordance with professional standards, as determined by the county auditor:
 - (1) A biennial audit covering a two-year period.
- (2) An audit covering a five-year period if the special district's annual revenues do not exceed an amount specified by the board of supervisors.
- (3) An audit conducted at specific intervals, as recommended by the county auditor, that shall be completed at least once every five years.
- (c) (1) A special district may, by unanimous request of the governing board of the special district and with unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit required by this section with a financial review, or an agreed-upon procedures engagement, in accordance with the appropriate professional standards, as determined by the county auditor, if the following conditions are met:
- (A) All of the special district's revenues and expenditures are transacted through the county's financial system.
- (B) The special district's annual revenues do not exceed one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000).
- 39 (C) The special district shall pay for any costs incurred by the 40 county auditor in performing an agreed-upon procedures

__5__ SB 448

engagement. Those costs shall be charged against any unencumbered funds of the district available for that purpose.

- (2) If the board of supervisors is the governing board of the special district, it may, upon unanimous approval, replace the annual audit of the special district required by this section with a financial review, or an agreed-upon procedures engagement, in accordance with the appropriate professional standards, as determined by the county auditor, if the special district satisfies the requirements of subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1).
- (d) (1) A special district may, by annual unanimous request of the governing board of the special district and with annual unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit required by this section with an annual financial compilation of the special district to be performed by the county auditor in accordance with professional standards, if all of the following conditions are met:
- (A) All of the special district's revenues and expenditures are transacted through the county's financial system.
- (B) The special district's annual revenues do not exceed one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000).
- (C) The special district shall pay for any costs incurred by the county auditor in performing a financial compilation. Those costs shall be a charge against any unencumbered funds of the district available for that purpose.
- (2) A special district shall not replace an annual audit required by this section with an annual financial compilation of the special district pursuant to paragraph (1) for more than five consecutive years, after which a special district shall comply with subdivision (a).
- (e) Notwithstanding this section, a special district shall be exempt from the requirement of an annual audit if the financial statements are audited by the Controller to satisfy federal audit requirements.
- (f) Upon receipt of the financial review, agreed-upon procedures engagement, or financial compilation, the county auditor shall have the right to appoint, pursuant to subdivision (a), a certified public accountant or a public accountant to conduct an audit of the special district, with proper notice to the governing board of the special district and board of supervisors.

—6— SB 448

1 2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

(g) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2027, and as of that date is repealed.

SEC. 3. Section 26909 of the Government Code, as added by 4 Section 2 of Chapter 164 of the Statutes of 2016, is amended to read:

- 26909. (a) (1) The county auditor shall either make or contract with a certified public accountant or public accountant to make an annual audit of the accounts and records of every special district within the county for which an audit by a certified public accountant or public accountant is not otherwise provided. In each case, the minimum requirements of the audit shall be prescribed by the Controller and shall conform to generally accepted auditing standards.
- (2) If an audit of a special district's accounts and records is made by a certified public accountant or public accountant, the minimum requirements of the audit shall be prescribed by the Controller and shall conform to generally accepted auditing standards, and a report thereof shall be filed with the Controller and Controller, with the county auditor of the county in which the special district is located, and with the local agency formation commission of the county in which the special district is located unless the special district is located in two or more counties, then the county containing the greatest percentage of the assessed value of taxable property of the district. The report shall be filed within 12 months of the end of the fiscal year or years under examination.
- (3) Any costs incurred by the county auditor, including contracts with, or employment of, certified public accountants or public accountants, in making an audit of every special district pursuant to this section shall be borne by the special district and shall be a charge against any unencumbered funds of the district available for the purpose.
- (4) For a special district that is located in two or more counties, this subdivision shall apply to the auditor of the county in which the treasury is located.
- (5) The county controller, or ex officio county controller, shall effect this section in those counties having a county controller or ex officio county controller.
- 39 (b) A special district may, by unanimous request of the governing board of the special district and with unanimous 40

—7— SB 448

approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit required by this section with one of the following, performed in accordance with professional standards, as determined by the county auditor:

- (1) A biennial audit covering a two-year period.
- (2) An audit covering a five-year period if the special district's annual revenues do not exceed an amount specified by the board of supervisors.
- (3) An audit conducted at specific intervals, as recommended by the county auditor, that shall be completed at least once every five years.
- (c) (1) A special district may, by unanimous request of the governing board of the special district and with unanimous approval of the board of supervisors, replace the annual audit required by this section with a financial review, in accordance with the appropriate professional standards, as determined by the county auditor, if the following conditions are met:
- (A) All of the special district's revenues and expenditures are transacted through the county's financial system.
- (B) The special district's annual revenues do not exceed one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000).
- (2) If the board of supervisors is the governing board of the special district, it may, upon unanimous approval, replace the annual audit of the special district required by this section with a financial review in accordance with the appropriate professional standards, as determined by the county auditor, if the special district satisfies the requirements of subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1).
- (d) Notwithstanding this section, a special district shall be exempt from the requirement of an annual audit if the financial statements are audited by the Controller to satisfy federal audit requirements.
- (e) The section shall become operative on January 1, 2027.
- 34 SECTION 1.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

- 35 SEC. 4. Article 9.5 (commencing with Section 53898) is added
- 36 to Chapter 4 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the Government
- 37 Code, to read:

SB 448 —8—

Article 9.5. Idle Districts

1 2 3

53898. (a) On or before September 1, 2018, and every two years thereafter, each special district shall submit an audit to the controller covering the previous two fiscal years.

(b) A special district may elect to submit a statement of purpose and financial review pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 53898.5 to the controller no more than once every five years.

53898.5.

- 53898. (a) On or before October 1, 2018, and every two years thereafter, the Controller shall create a list of special districts that are idle, based upon the audits received pursuant to Section 53898. idle. A special district shall be included on that list and considered idle if either of the following apply: the special district has not submitted financial materials to the Controller pursuant to Section 26909 by two years from the date the financial materials were due.
 - (1) Both of the following apply:
- (A) The special district has had no financial transactions within the audited time period.
- (B) The special district has had no revenue within the audited time period.
- (2) The special district has failed to submit an audit pursuant to Section 53898.
- (b) (1) On or before November 1, 2018, and every two years thereafter, the Controller shall notify a special district if the Controller has added the special district to the list pursuant to subdivision (a).
- (2) (A) Upon notification pursuant to paragraph (1), a special district shall, within 180 days, submit a statement of purpose to the Controller. The
- (2) (A) A special district shall submit a statement of purpose with every audit, financial review, agreed-upon procedures engagement, or financial compilation the special district submits to the Controller and the local agency formation commission. The statement of purpose shall include all of the following:
- (i) A list of any previous efforts made by the special district, the local agency formation commission, or the county toward dissolution, reorganization, or consolidation of the special district.
 - (ii) The date that the special district last performed a service.

__9 __ SB 448

- (iii) Any planned date that the special district expects to perform a service.
- (iv) An explanation for why services provided by the special district cannot be provided by the county *or city* in which the special district is located.
- (v) A list of services provided by the special district that are also provided by the county, county or city, if any.
- (B) The Controller shall publish each statement of purpose received pursuant to this subdivision on its the Controller's Internet Web site.

SEC. 2.

- SEC. 5. Section 56040.5 is added to the Government Code, to read:
- 56040.5. "Idle district" means a district included on the list created by the Controller pursuant to Section 53898.5. 53898.

SEC. 3.

- SEC. 6. Section 56042 is added to the Government Code, to read:
- 56042. "Inactive district" means a *special* district that meets all of the following:
- (a) The special district has had no financial transactions in the previous fiscal year.
 - (b) The special district has no assets.
 - (c) The special district has no fund equity.
- (d) The special district has no outstanding debts, judgments, litigation, contracts, liens, claims, or postemployment liabilities. SEC. 4.
 - SEC. 7. Article 6 (commencing with Section 58879) 56879) is added to Chapter 5 of Part 3 of Division 3 of Title 5 of the Government Code, to read:

Article 6. Idle and Inactive Special Districts

- 56879. (a) The commission shall dissolve inactive districts. The commission shall hold one public hearing on the dissolution of an inactive district pursuant to this section. The dissolution of an inactive district shall not be subject to either any of the following:
 - (1) Protest proceedings, as defined in Section 56069.5.
 - (2) A report pursuant to Section 56665.

SB 448 — 10 —

(3) Determinations pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 56881.

- 2 (4) Requirements for commission-initiated changes of organization described in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 56375.
- 5 (b) An inactive district may not object to a proceeding pursuant 6 to this section.
 - 56879.5. (a) The commission shall initiate proceedings to dissolve an idle district identified by the Controller pursuant to Section 53898.5 53898 if the special district remains on that list after two audits are submitted pursuant to that section. for two years.
 - (b) The commission shall initiate proceedings to dissolve an idle district that has not submitted a statement of purpose within 180 days pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 53898.5.
 - (e)

1

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

28

31

32

- (b) If the commission determines that, based upon the information contained in a statement of purpose submitted pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 53898.5, 53898, there is sufficient grounds to initiate a dissolution, reorganization, or consolidation, the commission may utilize the statement of purpose to initiate the process. Failure to submit a statement of purpose shall be sufficient grounds to initiate a dissolution, reorganization, or consolidation.
- 25
- 26 (c) An idle district may not object to dissolution pursuant to this 27 section.
 - SEC. 5.
- SEC. 8. Section 2611.6 of the Revenue and Taxation Code is 29 30 amended to read:
 - 2611.6. The following information shall be included in each county tax bill, whether mailed or electronically transmitted, or in a separate statement accompanying the bill:
- 34 (a) The full value of locally assessed property, including assessments made for irrigation district purposes in accordance 35 with Section 26625.1 of the Water Code. 36
- (b) The tax rate required by Article XIII A of the California 37 Constitution. 38
- 39 (c) The rate or dollar amount of taxes levied in excess of the 40 1-percent limitation to pay for voter-approved indebtedness

—11 — SB 448

incurred before July 1, 1978, or bonded indebtedness for the acquisition or improvement of real property approved by two-thirds of the voters on or after June 4, 1986.

- (d) The amount of any special taxes and special assessments levied.
- (e) The amount of any tax rate reduction pursuant to Section 96.8, with the notation: "Tax reduction by (name of jurisdiction)."
- (f) The amount of any exemptions. Exemptions reimbursable by the state shall be shown separately.
- 10 (g) The total taxes due and payable on the property covered by 11 the bill.
 - (h) Instructions on tendering payment, including the name and mailing address of the tax collector.
 - (i) The billing of any special purpose parcel tax as required by paragraph (2) of subdivision (b) of Section 53087.4 of the Government Code, or any successor to that paragraph.
 - (j) A list of all services provided by a city, county, special district, or school district that are funded by the general ad valorem property tax and any special taxes or special assessments levied.
 - (k) Information specifying all of the following:
 - (1) That if the taxpayer disagrees with the assessed value as shown on the tax bill, the taxpayer has the right to an informal assessment review by contacting the assessor's office.
 - (2) That if the taxpayer and the assessor are unable to agree on a proper assessed value pursuant to an informal assessment review, the taxpayer has the right to file an application for reduction in assessment for the following year with the county board of equalization or the assessment appeals board, as applicable, and the time period during which the application will be accepted.
 - (3) The address of the clerk of the county board of equalization or the assessment appeals board, as applicable, at which forms for an application for reduction in assessment may be obtained.
 - (4) That if an informal or formal assessment review is requested, relief from penalties shall apply only to the difference between the county assessor's final determination of value and the value on the assessment roll for the fiscal year covered.

SEC. 6.

SEC. 9. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution for certain costs that may be incurred by a local agency or school district

SB 448 — 12 —

- because, in that regard, this act creates a new crime or infraction,
- eliminates a crime or infraction, or changes the penalty for a crime
- 3 or infraction, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code, or changes the definition of a crime within the
- 5 meaning of Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California
- 6 Constitution.
- However, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that
- this act contains other costs mandated by the state, reimbursement
- 9 to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made
- 10 pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division
- 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

Introduced by Committee on Local Government

March 20, 2017

An act to amend Section 56383 of the Government Code, relating to local government.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1725, as introduced, Committee on Local Government. Local agency formation commission: fees.

The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, among other things, authorizes a local agency formation commission to establish a schedule of fees and a schedule of service charges for proceedings taken pursuant to the act, as specified.

This bill would revise that provision to authorize a local agency formation commission to establish a schedule of fees and a schedule of service charges pursuant to the act.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- SECTION 1. Section 56383 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- 3 56383. (a) The commission may establish a schedule of fees
- 4 and a schedule of service charges for the proceedings taken
- 5 pursuant to this division, including, but not limited to, all of the
- 6 following:
 - (1) Filing and processing applications filed with the commission.

AB 1725 -2-

(2) Proceedings undertaken by the commission and any reorganization committee.

- (3) Amending or updating a sphere of influence.
- (4) Reconsidering a resolution making determinations.
- (b) The fees shall not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged and shall be imposed pursuant to Section 66016. The service charges shall not exceed the cost of providing the service for which the service charge is charged and shall be imposed pursuant to Section 66016.
- (c) The commission may require that an applicant deposit some or all of the required amount that will be owed with the executive officer before any further action is taken. The deposit shall be made within the time period specified by the commission. No application shall be deemed filed until the applicant deposits the required amount with the executive officer. The executive officer shall provide the applicant with an accounting of all costs charged against the deposited amount. If the costs are less than the deposited amount, the executive officer shall refund the balance to the applicant after the executive officer verifies the completion of all proceedings. If the costs exceed the deposited amount, the applicant shall pay the difference prior to the completion of all proceedings.
- (d) The commission may reduce or waive a fee, service charge, or deposit if it finds that payment would be detrimental to the public interest. The reduction or waiver of any fee, service charge, or deposit is limited to the costs incurred by the commission in the proceedings of an application.
- (e) Any mandatory time limits for commission action may be deferred until the applicant pays the required fee, service charge, or deposit.
- (f) The signatures on a petition submitted to the commission by registered voters shall be verified by the elections official of the county and the costs of verification shall be provided for in the same manner and by the same agencies which bear the costs of verifying signatures for an initiative petition in the same county.
- (g) For incorporation proceedings that have been initiated by the filing of a sufficient number of voter signatures on petitions that have been verified by the county registrar of voters, the commission may, upon the receipt of a certification by the proponents that they are unable to raise sufficient funds to reimburse fees, service charges, or deposits for the proceedings,

-3- AB 1725

take no action on the proposal and request a loan from the General Fund of an amount sufficient to cover those expenses subject to availability of an appropriation for those purposes and in accordance with any provisions of the appropriation. Repayment of the loan shall be made a condition of approval of the incorporation, if successful, and shall become an obligation of the newly formed city. Repayment shall be made within two years of the effective date of incorporation. If the proposal is denied by the commission or defeated at an election, the loan shall be forgiven.

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MARCH 14, 2017

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2017–18 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 464

Introduced by Assembly Member Gallagher (Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Mayes) (Coauthors: Assembly Members Mathis, Voepel, and Waldron) (Coauthors: Senators Dodd and Nielsen)

February 13, 2017

An act to amend—Section Sections 56653 and 56857 of the Government Code, relating to local government.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 464, as amended, Gallagher. Local government reorganization. The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, among other things, establishes procedures for consideration of a proposal for change of organization or reorganization, as defined. Existing law requires that an applicant seeking a change of organization or reorganization submit a plan for providing services within the affected territory that includes, among other requirements, an enumeration and description of the services to be extended to the affected territory and an indication of when those services can feasibly be extended.

This bill would specify that the plan is required to also include specific information regarding services currently provided to the affected territory, as applicable, and make related changes.

The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 authorizes any district to which annexation of territory is proposed to adopt and transmit to the local agency formation commission a resolution requesting termination of proceedings, as specified, and requires the resolution to be based upon written findings

AB 464 — 2 —

supported by substantial evidence in the record that the request is justified by a financial or service-related concern.

This bill would require the resolution to be based upon written findings supported by substantial evidence in the record that the request is justified as described above or because the territory is already receiving electrical service under a service area agreement approved by the Public Utilities Commission, as specified. The bill would require findings related to existing provision of electrical service by an irrigation district pursuant to a service area agreement approved under a specified provision to be based on the records of the district and the Public Utilities Commission, as provided.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 56653 of the Government Code, as amended by Section 2 of Chapter 784 of the Statutes of 2014, is amended to read:

- 4 56653. (a) If a proposal for a change of organization or 5 reorganization is submitted pursuant to this part, the applicant shall 6 submit a plan for providing services within the affected territory.
- 7 (b) The plan for providing services shall include all of the following information and any additional information required by the commission or the executive officer:
- 10 (1) An enumeration and description of the services currently provided or to be extended to the affected territory.
 - (2) The level and range of those services.

- 13 (3) An indication of when those services can feasibly be 14 extended to the affected territory, if new services are provided. 15 proposed.
- (4) An indication of any improvement or upgrading of structures,
 roads, sewer or water facilities, or other conditions the local agency
 would impose or require within the affected territory if the change
 of organization or reorganization is completed.
- 20 (5) Information with respect to how those services will be 21 financed.
- (c) (1) In the case of a change of organization or reorganization initiated by a local agency that includes a disadvantaged, unincorporated community as defined in Section 56033.5, a local

-3 — AB 464

agency may include in its resolution of application for change of organization or reorganization an annexation development plan adopted pursuant to Section 99.3 of the Revenue and Taxation Code to improve or upgrade structures, roads, sewer or water facilities, or other infrastructure to serve the disadvantaged, unincorporated community through the formation of a special district or reorganization of one or more existing special districts with the consent of each special district's governing body.

- (2) The annexation development plan submitted pursuant to this subdivision shall include information that demonstrates that the formation or reorganization of the special district will provide all of the following:
- (A) The necessary financial resources to improve or upgrade structures, roads, sewer, or water facilities or other infrastructure. The annexation development plan shall also clarify the local entity that shall be responsible for the delivery and maintenance of the services identified in the application.
- (B) An estimated timeframe for constructing and delivering the services identified in the application.
- (C) The governance, oversight, and long-term maintenance of the services identified in the application after the initial costs are recouped and the tax increment financing terminates.
- (3) If a local agency includes an annexation development plan pursuant to this subdivision, a local agency formation commission may approve the proposal for a change of organization or reorganization to include the formation of a special district or reorganization of a special district with the special district's consent, including, but not limited to, a community services district, municipal water district, or sanitary district, to provide financing to improve or upgrade structures, roads, sewer or water facilities, or other infrastructure to serve the disadvantaged, unincorporated community, in conformity with the requirements of the principal act of the district proposed to be formed and all required formation proceedings.
- (4) Pursuant to Section 56881, the commission shall include in
 its resolution making determinations a description of the annexation
 development plan, including, but not limited to, an explanation of
 the proposed financing mechanism adopted pursuant to Section
 99.3 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, including, but not limited

AB 464 — 4 —

to, any planned debt issuance associated with that annexationdevelopment plan.

- (d) This section shall not preclude a local agency formation commission from considering any other options or exercising its powers under Section 56375.
- (e) This section shall remain in effect only until January 1, 2025, and as of that date is repealed.
- SEC. 2. Section 56653 of the Government Code, as added by Section 3 of Chapter 784 of the Statutes of 2014, is amended to read:
- 56653. (a) If a proposal for a change of organization or reorganization is submitted pursuant to this part, the applicant shall submit a plan for providing services within the affected territory.
- (b) The plan for providing services shall include all of the following information and any additional information required by the commission or the executive officer:
- (1) An enumeration and description of the services currently provided or to be extended to the affected territory.
 - (2) The level and range of those services.
- (3) An indication of when those services can feasibly be extended to the affected territory, if new services are proposed.
- (4) An indication of any improvement or upgrading of structures, roads, sewer or water facilities, or other conditions the local agency would impose or require within the affected territory if the change of organization or reorganization is completed.
- (5) Information with respect to how those services will be financed.
- (c) This section shall become operative on January 1, 2025.
- 29 SEC. 3. Section 56857 of the Government Code is amended to read:
 - 56857. (a) Upon receipt by the commission of a proposed change of organization or reorganization that includes the annexation of territory to any district, if the proposal is not filed by the district to which annexation of territory is proposed, the executive officer shall place the proposal on the agenda for the next commission meeting for information purposes only and shall transmit a copy of the proposal to any district to which an annexation of territory is requested.
- 39 (b) No later than 60 days after the date that the proposal is on 40 the commission's meeting agenda in accordance with subdivision

-5- AB 464

(a), any district to which annexation of territory is proposed may adopt and transmit to the commission a resolution requesting termination of the proceedings. The resolution requesting termination of the proceedings shall be based upon written findings supported by substantial evidence in the record that the request is justified by a financial or service related-concern or because the territory is already receiving electrical service under a service area agreement approved by the Public Utilities Commission pursuant to Section 9608 of the Public Utilities Code. Prior to the commission's termination of proceedings pursuant to subdivision (c), the resolution is subject to judicial review.

- (c) If any district to which annexation of territory is proposed has adopted and transmitted to the commission a resolution requesting termination of proceedings within the time period prescribed by, and in accordance with, subdivision (b), and if the commission has not been served with notice that judicial review of that resolution is being sought pursuant to subdivision (b), then the commission shall terminate the proceedings no sooner than 30 days from receipt of the resolution from the district.
- (d) For purposes of an annexation to a district pursuant to this section or Section 56668.3:
- (1) "Financial concerns" means that the proposed uses within the territory proposed to be annexed do not have the capacity to provide sufficient taxes, fees, and charges, including connection fees, if any, to pay for the full cost of providing services, including capital costs. Cost allocation shall be based on generally accepted accounting principles and shall be subject to all constitutional and statutory limitations on the amount of the tax, fee, or charge.
- (2) "Service concerns" means that a district will not have the ability to provide the services that are the subject of the application to the territory proposed to be annexed without imposing level of service reductions on existing and planned future uses in the district's current service area. "Service concerns" does not include a situation when a district has the ability to provide the services or the services will be available prior to the time that services will be required.
- 37 (3) "Territory already receiving electrical service under a 38 service area agreement approved by the Public Utilities 39 Commission pursuant to Section 9608 of the Public Utilities Code" 40 means territory that is outside the boundaries of an irrigation

AB 464 —6—

district but is currently receiving electrical services from the irrigation district pursuant to a service area agreement between 3 the district and a public utility approved by the Public Utilities 4 Commission as authorized by Sections 8101 to 8108, inclusive, 5 and 9608 of the Public Utilities Code. 6

(3)

7

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

24

25

26

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

(4) A district may make findings regarding financial or service concerns based on information provided in the application and any additional information provided to the district by the commission or the applicant that is relevant to determining the adequacy of existing and planned future services to meet the probable future needs of the territory. Findings related to service or financial concerns may be based on an urban water management plan, capital improvement plan, financial statement, comprehensive annual financial report, integrated resource management plan, or other information related to the ability of a district to provide services. Findings related to existing provision of electrical service by an irrigation district pursuant to a service area agreement approved under Section 9608 of the Public Utilities Code shall be based on the records of the district and the Public Utilities Commission evidencing approval of such a service area agreement by the Public Utilities Commission.

23 (4)

> (5) Nothing in this section shall be construed to create a right or entitlement to water service or any specific level of water service.

27 (5)

- (6) Nothing in this section is intended to change existing law concerning a district's obligation to provide water service to its existing customers or to any potential future customers.
- (e) This section shall not apply if all districts to which annexation of territory is proposed have adopted and transmitted to the commission a resolution supporting the proposed change of organization or reorganization.

O

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 6, 2017 AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 4, 2017

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2017–18 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 979

Introduced by Assembly Member Lackey

February 16, 2017

An act to amend Sections 56332 and 56332.5 of the Government Code, relating to local government.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 979, as amended, Lackey. Local agency formation commissions: district representation.

Existing law, the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, provides for the selection of representatives of independent special districts on each local agency formation commission by an independent special district selection committee pursuant to a nomination and election process. Existing law requires the executive officer of the commission to call and hold a meeting of the special district selection committee upon, among other things, receipt of a written request by one or more members of the selection committee, as specified.

Existing law dissolves redevelopment agencies and community development agencies, as of February 1, 2012, and designates successor agencies, as defined. Existing law requires each successor agency to have an oversight board that is composed of 7 members who meet certain qualifications, including one member appointed by the independent special district selection committee.

-2-

This bill would additionally require the executive officer to call and hold a meeting of the special district selection committee upon receipt of a written request by one or more members of the selection committee notifying the executive officer of a pending vacancy for the member representing independent special districts on an oversight board. By increasing the duties of the executive officer, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

Existing law requires the selection committee to appoint 2 regular members and one alternate member to the commission and provides that service on the commission by a regular district member does not disqualify, and is not cause for disqualification of, the member from acting on proposals affecting the special district on whose legislative body the member serves.

This bill would provide that those provisions additionally apply to an alternate member.

Existing law requires the commission, if it does not have representation from independent special districts on January 1, 2001, to initiate proceedings for representation of those districts upon the commission if requested by independent special districts, and specifies the procedures for those proceedings.

This bill would revise the procedures for special district representatives to initiate those proceedings, and would authorize the commission to combine proceedings for appointing a member representing independent special districts on an oversight board pursuant to those proceedings, as specified.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 56332 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:
- 3 56332. (a) The independent special district selection committee
- 4 shall consist of the presiding officer of the legislative body of each
- 5 independent special district. However, if the presiding officer of

-3-**AB 979**

an independent special district is unable to participate in a meeting 2 or election of the independent special district selection committee, 3 the legislative body of the district may appoint one of its members as an alternate to participate in the selection committee in the 5 presiding officer's place. Those districts shall include districts located wholly within the county and those containing territory 7 within the county representing 50 percent or more of the assessed 8 value of taxable property of the district, as shown on the last 9 equalized county assessment roll. Each member of the committee 10 shall be entitled to one vote for each independent special district of which he or she is the presiding officer or his or her alternate 11 12 as designated by the governing body. Members representing a 13 majority of the eligible districts shall constitute a quorum. 14

(b) The executive officer shall call and give written notice of all meetings of the members of the selection committee. A meeting shall be called and held under one of the following circumstances:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

35

36

37

38

- (1) Whenever the executive officer anticipates that a vacancy will occur within the next 90 days among the members or alternate member representing independent special districts on the commission.
- (2) Whenever a vacancy exists among the members or alternate member representing independent special districts upon the commission.
- (3) Upon receipt of a written request by one or more members of the selection committee representing districts having 10 percent or more of the assessed value of taxable property within the county, as shown on the last equalized county assessment roll.
- (4) Upon receipt of a written request by one or more members of the selection committee notifying the executive officer of a pending vacancy for the member representing independent special districts on an oversight board pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (j) of Section 34179 of the Health and Safety Code.
- (c) The selection committee shall appoint two regular members 33 34 and one alternate member to the commission. The members so appointed shall be elected or appointed members of the legislative body of an independent special district residing within the county but shall not be members of the legislative body of a city or county. If one of the regular district members is absent from a commission meeting or disqualifies himself or herself from participating in a meeting, the alternate district member may serve and vote in place

AB 979 _4_

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

31

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

of the regular district member for that meeting. Service on the 2 commission by a district member shall not disqualify, or be cause 3 for disqualification of, the member from acting on proposals 4 affecting the special district on whose legislative body the member 5 serves. The special district selection committee may, at the time 6 it appoints a member or alternate, provide that the member or 7 alternate is disqualified from voting on proposals affecting the 8 district on whose legislative body the member serves.

- (d) If the office of a regular district member becomes vacant, the alternate member may serve and vote in place of the former regular district member until the appointment and qualification of a regular district member to fill the vacancy.
- (e) A majority of the independent special district selection committee may determine to conduct the committee's business by mail, including holding all elections by mailed ballot, pursuant to subdivision (f).
- (f) If the independent special district selection committee has determined to conduct the committee's business by mail or if the executive officer determines that a meeting of the special district selection committee is not feasible, the executive officer shall conduct the business of the committee by mail. Elections by mail shall be conducted as provided in this subdivision.
- (1) The executive officer shall prepare and deliver a call for nominations to each eligible district. The presiding officer, or his or her alternate as designated by the governing body, may respond in writing by the date specified in the call for nominations, which date shall be at least 30 days from the date on which the executive officer mailed the call for nominations to the eligible district.
- (2) At the end of the nominating period, if only one candidate 30 is nominated for a vacant seat, that candidate shall be deemed appointed. If two or more candidates are nominated, the executive officer shall prepare and deliver one ballot and voting instructions 32 to each eligible district. The ballot shall include the names of all nominees and the office for which each was nominated. Each presiding officer, or his or her alternate as designated by the governing body, shall return the ballot to the executive officer by the date specified in the voting instructions, which date shall be at least 30 days from the date on which the executive officer mailed the ballot to the eligible district.

5 AB 979

(3) The call for nominations, ballots, and voting instructions shall be delivered by certified mail to each eligible district. As an alternative to the delivery by certified mail, the executive officer, with prior concurrence of the presiding officer or his or her alternate as designated by the governing body, may transmit materials by electronic mail.

- (4) If the executive officer has transmitted the call for nominations or ballots by electronic mail, the presiding officer, or his or her alternate as designated by the governing body, may respond to the executive officer by electronic mail.
- (5) Each returned nomination and ballot shall be signed by the presiding officer or his or her alternate as designated by the governing body of the eligible district.
- (6) For an election to be valid, at least a quorum of the special districts must submit valid ballots. The candidate receiving the most votes shall be elected, unless another procedure has been adopted by the selection committee. Any nomination and ballot received by the executive officer after the date specified is invalid, provided, however, that if a quorum of ballots is not received by that date, the executive officer shall extend the date to submit ballots by 60 days and notify all districts of the extension. The executive officer shall announce the results of the election within seven days of the date specified.
- (7) All election materials shall be retained by the executive officer for a period of at least six months after the announcement of the election results.
- (g) For purposes of this section, "executive officer" means the executive officer or designee as authorized by the commission.
- SEC. 2. Section 56332.5 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- 56332.5. (a) If the commission does not have representation from independent special districts on or before January 1, 2001, the commission shall initiate proceedings for representation of independent special districts upon the commission if requested by independent special districts pursuant to this section.
- (b) Upon receipt of a written request by one or more members of the selection committee representing districts having 10 percent or more of the assessed value of taxable property within the county, as shown on the last equalized county assessment roll, the commission, at its next regular meeting, shall adopt a resolution

AB 979 — 6 —

of intention. The resolution of intention shall state whether the proceedings are initiated by the commission or by an independent special district or districts, in which case, the names of those districts shall be set forth. The commission shall order the executive officer to call and give notice of a meeting of the independent special district selection committee to be held within 15 days after the adoption of the resolution in order to determine whether independent special districts shall accept representation on the commission and appoint independent special district representation on the commission pursuant to Section 56332.

- (c) In conjunction with the independent special district selection committee appointment to an oversight board pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (j) of Section 34179 of the Health and Safety Code, the commission may adopt a resolution of intention. The resolution of intention shall state the proceedings are initiated by the commission to occur in conjunction with the independent special district selection committee appointment to a an oversight board pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (j) of Section 34179 of the Health and Safety Code. The commission shall order the executive officer to call and give notice of a meeting of the independent special district selection committee within 15 days after the adoption of the resolution to determine all of the following:
- (1) Whether independent special districts shall accept representation on the commission.
- (2) The appointment of independent special district representation on the commission pursuant to Section 56332.
- (3) The appointment of independent special district representation on a countywide redevelopment agency oversight board pursuant to paragraph (3) of subdivision (j) of Section 34179 of the Health and Safety-Code Code.
- (d) The executive officer shall announce an appointment of independent special district representation on the commission made pursuant to this section within seven days of the date of the appointment.
- (e) All materials related to independent special district representation on the commission shall be retained by the executive officer for a period of at least six months after the announcement of the appointment.

40 (d)

-7- AB 979

(f) Notwithstanding other law, if the independent special district selection committee establishes a quorum and rejects independent special district representation upon the commission, the commission shall not reinitiate proceedings for representation of independent special districts upon the commission for one year.

(g) For purposes of this section, "executive officer" means the

2

3

5

6

7

(g) For purposes of this section, "executive officer" means the executive officer or designee as authorized by the commission.

SEC 3. No reimburgement is required by this act purposent to

SEC. 3. No reimbursement is required by this act pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIIIB of the California Constitution because a local agency or school district has the authority to levy service charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the program or level of service mandated by this act, within the meaning of Section 17556 of the Government Code.

O

Introduced by Senator Dodd

(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Grayson) (Coauthor: Assembly Member Frazier)

February 14, 2017

An act to add Section 5506.13 to the Public Resources Code, relating to parks.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 365, as introduced, Dodd. Regional park and open-space districts: County of Solano.

Existing law authorizes proceedings for the formation of a regional park and open-space or regional open-space district in specified counties in the state to be initiated by resolution of the county board of supervisors adopted after a noticed hearing, and specifies the contents of the resolution.

This bill, in addition, would authorize the formation of a regional district in the County of Solano to be initiated by resolution of the county board of supervisors after a noticed hearing. The bill would specify the contents of the resolution, including the calling of an election, as prescribed.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. (a) There is increasing interest in preserving the
- 2 natural and open-space areas of the County of Solano and in 3 creating opportunities for public access to these areas for hiking
- 4 and other recreational activities.

SB 365 -2-

 (b) Formation of a regional park and open-space district or regional open-space district in the County of Solano is critically needed to help address the unresolved needs in the Solano County area with respect to the preservation of open-space and natural areas and the enhancement of regional parks and recreation facilities, including regional trail connections to the greater San Francisco Bay area.

- SEC. 2. Section 5506.13 is added to the Public Resources Code, to read:
- 5506.13. (a) A proceeding for the formation of a regional district in the County of Solano may be initiated by resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Solano, adopted after a hearing noticed in accordance with Section 6064 of the Government Code, in lieu of the petition and related proceedings specified in this article.
 - (b) The resolution shall do all of the following:
- (1) Name the proposed regional district and state the reasons for forming it.
- (2) Specify that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Solano shall act, ex officio, as the governing body for the regional district, except as provided in paragraph (8). The provisions of this article pertaining to district directors do not apply, and all powers and authority of the regional district shall be vested in the board of supervisors in its capacity as the governing body of the regional district, unless a separate board is elected pursuant to paragraph (8).
 - (3) Describe the territory to be included in the regional district.
- (4) Describe the methods by which the regional district will be financed.
- (5) Specify that the district shall not have, and may not exercise, the power of eminent domain pursuant to Section 5542 or any other law.
- (6) (A) Call and give notice of an election to be held in the proposed regional district for the purpose of determining whether the regional district shall be formed. The election shall be consolidated with a statewide election or any election conducted throughout the County of Solano.
- 38 (B) Notwithstanding Section 5518, the County Counsel of the 39 County of Solano shall prepare the language in the ballot label. 40 The proposition shall specify the matters set forth in the resolution,

-3- SB 365

except for subparagraph (A). The analysis and review of the measure shall be carried out pursuant to Section 9160 of the Elections Code.

- (7) Include any other matters necessary to the formation of the proposed regional district.
 - (8) Specify all of the following:

- (A) No sooner than 10 years after formation of the regional district, the directors may, after holding a hearing, adopt a resolution placing the question of having an elected board of directors pursuant to Section 5527 on the ballot. Notice of the hearing on the resolution, specifying the time and place, shall be published at least once in a newspaper of general circulation in the district.
- (B) If a majority of the voters voting upon the question are in favor, the regional district shall have an elected board of directors, with boundaries of the proposed wards or subdistricts being drawn pursuant to Section 5515. At the election, the voters shall also elect members to the regional district's board of directors. Those persons shall take office only if a majority of the voters voting upon the question of having an elected board of directors are in favor of the question.
- (C) If the question is submitted to the voters at a general district election, the notice required by Section 12112 of the Elections Code shall contain a statement of the question to appear on the ballot. If the question is submitted to the voters at a special election, the notice of election and ballot shall contain a statement of the question.
- (c) The resolution calling the election may provide for a single ballot measure or separate ballot measures on the question of formation, establishment of an appropriations limit authorized by Section 4 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution, the authority to tax pursuant to Section 5566, and the authority to sell bonds pursuant to Section 5568, or any combination of those questions.
- (d) (1) The formation of the regional district is not subject to Section 5517.1 or to the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 (Division 3 (commencing with Section 56000) of Title 5 of the Government Code).
- (2) The district shall be formed if a majority of voters voting on the proposition vote in favor of formation of the regional district.

SB 365 —4—

1

4

5

6

8

10

(e) If the regional district is created and established in the County of Solano, officers and employees of the County of Solano may act, ex officio or under contract, as officers and employees of the regional district and may discharge the authority and responsibility specified in the article.

(f) The County of Solano may provide the services and facilities needed to carry out the functions of the district. Proceeds of taxes levied by the district pursuant to Section 5566 may be used to reimburse the County of Solano for the actual costs of these services and facilities.

O

Introduced by Senator Wilk

February 17, 2017

An act to repeal the Castaic Lake Water Agency Law (Chapter 28 of the First Extraordinary Session of the Statutes of 1962), and to create the Santa Clarita Valley Water District, and prescribing its boundaries, organization, operation, management, financing, and other powers and duties, relating to water districts.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 634, as amended, Wilk. Santa Clarita Valley Water District.

Existing law, the Castaic Lake Water Agency Law, created the Castaic Lake Water Agency and authorizes the agency to acquire water and water rights, including water from the State Water Project, and to provide, sell, and deliver water at wholesale for municipal, industrial, domestic, and other purposes.

This bill would repeal the Castaic Lake Water Agency Law.

Existing law, the County Water District Law, authorizes the formation of county water districts and authorizes those districts to appropriate, acquire, and conserve water and water rights for any useful purpose and to operate water rights, works, properties, rights, and privileges useful or necessary to convey, supply, store, or make use of water for any purpose authorized by that law.

This bill would reorganize the Newhall County Water District and the Castaic Lake Water Agency into the Santa Clarita Valley Water District, which this bill would create, and prohibit the Castaic Lake Water Agency and the Newhall County Water District from operating as separate entities or exercising independent functions. The bill would SB 634 -2-

generally specify the powers and purposes, as well as the boundaries, of the Santa Clarita Valley Water District and would provide that the primary purpose of the district includes, but is not limited to, to acquire, hold, and utilize water and water rights, such as from the State Water Project, and is to provide, sell, manage, and deliver surface water, groundwater, and recycled water at retail or wholesale within the district's territory. The bill would prescribe the composition of the board of directors of the district. By imposing duties on the district and a county in connection with the operation of the district, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to the statutory provisions noted above.

Existing law, the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 (act), provides the sole and exclusive authority and procedure for the initiation, conduct, and completion of changes of organization and reorganization for cities and districts.

This bill would require the district to submit an application for conditions addressing the creation of the district to the Local Agency Formation Commission for the County of Los Angeles, as specified, and require the commission to prepare a written report, which may contain specific conditions to which the district would be subject and which the commission deems appropriate under the act.

By imposing duties on the district and a county in connection with the operation of the district, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for specified reasons.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

CALAFCO 2017 Legislative Policies

As adopted by the Board of Directors on 12 January 2017

1. LAFCo Purpose and Authority

- 1.1. Support legislation which enhances LAFCo authority and powers to carry out the legislative findings and authority in Government Code §56000 et seq., and oppose legislation which diminishes LAFCo authority.
- 1.2. Support authority for each LAFCo to establish local policies to apply Government Code §56000 et seg. based on local needs and conditions, and oppose any limitations to that authority.
- 1.3. Oppose additional LAFCo responsibilities which require expansion of current local funding sources. Oppose unrelated responsibilities which dilute LAFCo ability to meet its primary mission.
- 1.4. Support alignment of responsibilities and authority of LAFCo and regional agencies which may have overlapping responsibilities in orderly growth, preservation, and service delivery, and oppose legislation or policies which create conflicts or hamper those responsibilities.
- 1.5. Oppose grants of special status to any individual agency or proposal to circumvent the LAFCo process.
- 1.6. Support individual commissioner responsibility that allows each commissioner to independently vote his or her conscience on issues affecting his or her own jurisdiction.

2. LAFCo Organization

- 2.1. Support the independence of LAFCo from local agencies.
- 2.2. Oppose the re-composition of any LAFCo to create special seats and recognize the importance of balanced representation provided by cities, the county, the public, and special districts in advancing the public interest.
- 2.3. Support representation of special districts on all LAFCos in counties with independent districts and oppose removal of special districts from any LAFCo.
- 2.4. Support communication and collaborative decision-making among neighboring LAFCos when growth pressures and multicounty agencies extend beyond a LAFCo's boundaries.

3. Agricultural and Open Space Protection

- 3.1. Support legislation which clarifies LAFCo authority to identify, encourage and ensure the preservation of agricultural and open space lands.
- 3.2. Encourage a consistent definition of agricultural and open space lands.
- 3.3. Support policies which encourage cities, counties and special districts to direct development away from prime agricultural lands.
- 3.4. Support policies and tools which protect prime agricultural and open space lands.
- 3.5. Support the continuance of the Williamson Act and restoration of program funding through State subvention payments.

4. Orderly Growth

4.1. Support the recognition and use of spheres of influence as a management tool to provide better planning of growth and development, and to preserve agricultural, and open space lands.

As adopted by the Board of Directors on 12 January 2017

- 4.2. Support recognition of LAFCo spheres of influence by other agencies involved in determining and developing long-term growth and infrastructure plans.
- 4.3. Support orderly boundaries of local agencies and the elimination of islands within the boundaries of agencies.
- 4.4. Support communication among cities, counties, and special districts through a collaborative process that resolves service, housing, land use, and fiscal issues prior to application to LAFCo.
- 4.5. Support cooperation between counties and cities on decisions related to development within the city's designated sphere of influence.

5. Service Delivery and Local Agency Effectiveness

- 5.1. Support the use of LAFCo resources to review Regional Transportation Plans, including sustainable communities strategies and other growth plans to ensure reliable services, orderly growth, sustainable communities, and conformity with LAFCo's legislative mandates. Support efforts that enhance meaningful collaboration between LAFCos and regional planning agencies.
- 5.2. Support LAFCo authority as the preferred method of local governance. Support the availability of LAFCo tools which provide communities with local governance and efficient service delivery options, including the authority to impose conditions that assure a proposal's conformity with LAFCo's legislative mandates.
- 5.3. Support the creation or reorganization of local governments in a deliberative, open process which will fairly evaluate the proposed new or successor agency's long-term financial viability, governance structure and ability to efficiently deliver proposed services.
- 5.4. Support the availability of tools for LAFCo to insure equitable distribution of revenues to local government agencies consistent with their service delivery responsibilities.
- 5.5. Support collaborative efforts among agencies and LAFCOs that encourage opportunities for sharing of services, staff and facilities to provide more efficient and cost effective services. Support legislation which provides LAFCo with additional opportunities to encourage shared services.

2017 Legislative Priorities

Primary Issues

Authority of LAFCo

Support legislation that maintains or enhances LAFCo's authority to condition proposals to address any or all financial, growth, service delivery, and agricultural and open space preservation issues. Support legislation that maintains or enhances LAFCo's ability to make decisions regarding boundaries and formations, as well as to enact recommendations related to the delivery of services and the agencies providing them, including consolidations, reorganizations or dissolutions.

Agriculture and Open Space Protection

Support policies, programs and legislation that recognize LAFCo's mission to protect and mitigate the loss of prime agricultural and open space lands and that encourage other agencies to coordinate with local LAFCos on land preservation and orderly growth. Support efforts that encourage the creation of habitat conservation plans.

As adopted by the Board of Directors on 12 January 2017

Water Availability

Support policies, programs and legislation that promote an integrated approach to water availability and management. Promote adequate water supplies and infrastructure planning for current and planned growth as well as to support the sustainability of agriculture. Support policies that assist LAFCo in obtaining accurate and reliable water supply information to evaluate current and cumulative water demands for service expansions and boundary changes including impacts of expanding water company service areas on orderly growth, and the impacts of consolidation or dissolution of water companies providing services.

Viability of Local Services

Support legislation that maintains or enhances LAFCo's ability to review and act to determine the efficient and sustainable delivery of local services and the financial viability of agencies providing those services to meet current and future needs including those identified in regional planning efforts such as sustainable communities strategies. Support legislation which provides LAFCo and local communities with options for local governance and service delivery to ensure efficient, effective, and quality service delivery. Support efforts which provide tools to local agencies to address aging infrastructure, fiscal challenges and the maintenance of services.

Issues of Interest

Housing

Provision of territory and services to support housing plans consistent with regional land use plans and local LAFCo policies.

Transportation

Effects of Regional Transportation Plans and expansion of transportation systems on future urban growth and service delivery needs, and the ability of local agencies to provide those services.

Flood Control

The ability and effectiveness of local agencies to maintain and improve levees and protect current infrastructure. Carefully consider the value of uninhabited territory, and the impact to public safety of proposed annexation to urban areas of uninhabited territory which is at risk for flooding. Support legislation that includes assessment of agency viability in decisions involving new funds for levee repair and maintenance. Support efforts that encourage the creation of habitat conservation plans.

Adequate Municipal Services in Inhabited Territory

Expedited processes for inhabited annexations should be consistent with LAFCo law and be fiscally viable. To promote environmental justice for underserved inhabited communities, funding sources should be identified for extension of municipal services, including options for annexation of contiguous disadvantaged unincorporated communities. Promote the delivery of adequate, sustainable, efficient, and effective levels of service through periodic updates of Municipal Service reviews, Spheres of Influence, and other studies.

CALAFCO BULLETIN

Update of Little Hoover Commission and Assembly Local Government Committee Oversight Hearing on Healthcare Districts



March 14, 2017

Little Hoover Commission

As a follow up to the hearings and workshops held in 2016 (August, October and November) by the Little Hoover Commission (LHC) on special districts and LAFCos, the LHC staff compiled a draft report for the Commission's consideration and adoption at their February meeting. During the February 23, 2017 business meeting, LHC staff presented a draft report to the Commission. Their staff worked closely with the Commission Chair on their recommendations, which were also shared with CALAFCO (although the draft report was not made public). In summary, they included many of CALAFCO's recommendations identified in our August testimony. For example: (1) The Legislature should curtail a growing practice of introducing and passing bills that override existing LAFCo processes and authority; (2) Streamline the process for LAFCos to dissolve inactive districts; (3) Consider fixed terms for LAFCo Commissioners (to avoid random removal); (4) Update the principal act for HCDs; and (5) Require HCDs to create community needs assessments, annually report their progress of meeting those needs, and file all reports with LAFCo for inclusion in MSRs.

Several of the LHC Commissioners expressed concern that their staff's recommendations were too "status quo" and they desired something a bit more radical be done. Sentiments ranged from the most radical, which was to suggest putting the livelihood of districts to a vote of the people every ten years, to questioning what the actual problem is that the Commission is trying to solve. Others expressed a belief there are too many special districts, and others believe LAFCos are not doing enough in terms of oversight and management of many of the districts and therefore another oversight entity needs to be created. Ultimately there was no agreement among the Commission on how to move forward. As a result, the final report was tabled and another hearing is to occur in the fall (no date specified).

Since that meeting, CALAFCO met with LHC staff as well as their Chair and Vice Chair (both meetings were also attended by CSDA). We heard directly the general concerns of the Commission (from the Chair and Vice Chair's perspective), and were afforded the opportunity to clarify facts and data presented in our written testimony. Further it allowed us to share steps CALAFCO is taking proactively to address some of what we identified in our written testimony as opportunities for the future. We were encouraged to reach out directly to the Commissioners. Simultaneously, LHC staff will be receiving feedback from the Commission as to the specific issues they want to further discuss/review in the upcoming hearing.

CALAFCO is preparing a written response to the Commission in light of the outcome of the February 23 meeting and as a result of our meeting with the Commission Chair, Vice Chair and staff. (CSDA and their San Diego Chapter both issued letters to the Commission as a result of the February 23 meeting. However since CALAFCO was not present at the meeting, we needed to wait until we met with the Chair and Vice Chair to respond in writing.) Further, CALAFCO is convening a small working group to help shape outreach and education efforts to all LHC Commissioners, including the Legislators appointed to the Commission. This educational effort will go beyond what was contained in our written testimony last year and delve into current efforts as well as tell the story of actual LAFCo actions. Your response to CALAFCO's pending request for information will be critical for us being able to tell an accurate story.

We will continue to be engaged with LHC staff and monitor any further developments coming from the LHC's business meeting on March 23.

CALAFCO BULLETIN

Update of Little Hoover Commission and Assembly Local Government Committee Oversight Hearing on Healthcare Districts



March 14, 2017

ALGC Oversight Hearing on Healthcare Districts

On March 8, 2017 the ALGC held an oversight hearing on evolution of healthcare districts (HCDs). Following an overview of healthcare districts by Carolyn Chu of the Legislative Analyst Office (LAO) and an overview of the LAFCo connection by Michael Colantuono (Colantuono, Highsmith & Whatley), the Committee was presented with five case studies. Three were from hospital districts, each with a different model (Tahoe Forest HCD, Grossmont HCD and Del Puerto HCD) and two were from LAFCos (Contra Costa and Sonoma). The case studies were followed by a brief period of public comment.

After telling their individual stories, all three district representatives expressed their sentiments about LAFCo. These included their feeling that LAFCo was the correct entity to conduct the MSRs of HCDs and their understanding of the need for these reviews; an encouragement for modification of the review process to allow MSRs to better serve stakeholders (suggestions included standardized questions specific to HCDs that also allowed for local circumstances and conditions to be considered); and greater resources for LAFCos to be more effective in the review and oversight of HCDs (and all other types of districts).

The two LAFCo representatives (Commissioner Don Tatzin, Contra Costa LAFCo and Executive Officer Mark Bramfitt, Sonoma LAFCo) shared their Commission's direct experience with the Mt. Diablo HCD merger with the City of Concord and the recent detachment of part of the Palm Drive HCD, respectively. In their compelling testimony, they conveyed the challenges Commissions face in making the difficult decision to reorganize a district. It was also made clear that while LAFCo may not be the appropriate entity to determine how a hospital should be run and exactly what their money should be spent on, they are the proper entity to review general finances, governance, boundaries and the other factors currently authorized by the Legislature and considered by LAFCo.

Questions from Committee members included what should be done with districts that repeatedly fail to respond to LAFCo's request for information; what may be better questions for inclusion in the MSR preparation process; what the liability is for district board members in non-responsive situations; how the revenue and expenses can be tracked and accounted for in the situations for which the district is unresponsive; and what is needed for LAFCos to do the work required, especially with respect to non-responsive districts.

CALAFCO now has the rare opportunity to take proactive action and work with the ALGC Chair and staff. Now is the time for us to consider what is needed for LAFCos to meet desired statutory requirements. What needs to change with respect to LAFCos and HCDs? While CALAFCO is working with CSDA and the Assoc. of CA Healthcare Districts, it is critical that we also act independently.

CALAFCO is putting together a small group to lead this effort that will include one (1) CALAFCO Board member and five (5) LAFCo staff and/or commissioners. If you are interested in participating please contact Executive Director Pamela Miller.