From:

Paul Hood < hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, July 05, 2016 3:57 PM

To:

Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject:

FW: Annexation

----Original Message-----

From: David Grossman [mailto:davidericgrossman@gmail.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 3:19 PM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Annexation

Please count me among the many who are in support of the annexation being requested by the SB Museum of Natural History. I have always known the Museum to act in the best interest of our community and protection of the land it occupies and opposition to this request is irrational.

Thank you.

David Grossman 1435 Sycamore Canyon Rd Santa Barbara=

From:

Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Tuesday, July 05, 2016 3:56 PM

To:

Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject:

FW: SBMNH / Mission Canyon Parcel Annexation to the City of Santa Barbara

----Original Message----

From: macpuzl@west.net [mailto:macpuzl@west.net]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 3:17 PM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

Subject: SBMNH / Mission Canyon Parcel Annexation to the City of Santa Barbara

Santa Barbara LAFCO c/o Mr. Paul Hood, Executive Officer 105 East Anapamu Street, Room 407 Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to voice my support for approval of the annexation of the County parcels of the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History into the City.

This action will simplify oversight and regulation of these important riparian woodland areas, and help preserve them in their natural state for the future.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Chuck McPartlin

Chuck McPartlin macpuzl@west.net www.sbau.org

From: Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 3:01 PM

To: Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject: FW: please approve the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History annexation

----Original Message-----

From: Michael Williams [mailto:bulldogmw@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 2:37 PM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

Subject: please approve the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History annexation

As a neighbor and as a community member, I implore you, the LAFCO Commissioners, to approve the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History annexation, which in all ways conforms to the findings upon which you should base their decision. Both the Mission Canyon Association and the Upper East Association - the two neighborhood associations that represent over 600 neighborhood households, have come out in support of the annexation. If the annexation does not go forward, they will be forced to remain in two jurisdictions, and to develop a CUP with the County for the woodland parcels

- an enormous waste of time and philanthropic dollars. This is a 100 tear old crown jewel of the community and we should do everything in our power to ensure they are around for the next 100 years. respectfully,

Michael Williams 805-680-7700

=

From:

Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Wednesday, July 06, 2016 7:56 AM

To:

Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject:

FW: Natural History Museum

From: Joe Campanelli [mailto:joe@campanelliconstruction.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 7:51 AM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net
Subject: Natural History Museum

Good morning Paul – I'm Joe Campanelli a Riviera neighbor of the museum since 1987 and past VP of the Riviera Home Owners Association. Paula and I support the Museum's current plans regarding the annexation of the Woodlands. The Museum has always been a good neighbor and responsible about their impacts on the community. Sincerely – Joe & Paula Campanelli

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

From: Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 8:01 AM

To: Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject: FW: LAFCO meeting regarding Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History

From: DGA [mailto:dgregoryaia@verizon.net]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 9:00 PM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

Subject: LAFCO meeting regarding Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History

Dear Commissioners,

I am in full support of the annexation.

My wife and I have loved living in Mission Canyon since 1982 and we've been members of the Mission Canyon Association since then. I'm an architect and in the late 80's and 90's I was a member of the MCA design review committe for 8 years and in 2012 I returned and continue to volunteer on the committee. We've been SBMNH members since 2001. My wife and I <u>love</u> walking through the "backyard" woodland on our way to Rocky Nook Park and we take out of town friends and family, who are always amazed by the environment, whenever we can.

We truly appreciate the museum's consistent stewardship of the woodland and its commitment to maintain it in its current state for education and public use. In addition to taking great pleasure in the museum's grounds, exhibits and programs, I have enjoyed working with the museum on various projects since 1999.

Thank you very much for your consideration and I fervently hope the commission approves the museum's request for annexation.

Sincerely,

Dwight Gregory, AIA

From: Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 8:01 AM

To: Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject: FW: Proposed annexation of SBMNH Lower Grounds.

From: Hebe Bartz [mailto:hebebartz@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 11:52 PM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Proposed annexation of SBMNH Lower Grounds.

I support the annexation of the western portion of the Museum's property into the city. The CUP and the stated intention of the Museum Board of Directors is that the Oak Woodlands be maintained as a quiet refuge for people, dogs, bird watchers, artists and photographers and the indigenous raccoons, skunks possums lizards and other vertebrates and invertebrates.

The popularity of the Butterfly Pavilion and the Nature Clubhouse and Outdoor Classroom attest to the need for natural places and people's access to them. The approval of the annexation can only strengthen the existing CUP.

Most Sincerely, Lila Anne Bartz 2589 Puesta del Sol

From:

Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Wednesday, July 06, 2016 7:55 AM

To:

Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject:

FW: SB Museum of Natural History Annexation Request

From: John C. Jostes [mailto:jjostes@cox.net]
Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 7:44 AM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

Cc: Luke Swetland < lswetland@SBNATURE2.ORG>

Subject: SB Museum of Natural History Annexation Request

Mr. Paul Hood,

Executive Officer, LAFCO

Dear Mr. Hood:

I am writing in support of the application by the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History to annex the portion of their property currently within the jurisdiction of the County into the boundaries of the City of Santa Barbara.

As a former member and Chair of the City's Planning Commission (2005-2012) and founder of one of the South Coast's premier land use and environmental planning firms (Interface Planning and Counseling Corporation (1976-1995)) I am keenly aware of the issues facing both City and County land use permitting agencies. As a co-author of the original Mission Canyon Specific Plan, I also understand the environmental, public infrastructure and land use issues of the Mission Canyon neighborhood.

I am very supportive of the Museum's application for annexation because LAFCO's findings in favor of the annexation can and should be made, namely, that the annexation represents "orderly development", that the public services and infrastructure exist to serve the project, and its environmental impacts are largely benign (No EIR) and fully mitigated.

This project is well designed and will lead to positive impacts to the community for generations to come. I urge you to approve the annexation request without delay.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

John C. Jostes Senior Mediator/Facilitator

1339 Rialto Lane Santa Barbara, CA 93105 (805) 452-9807 (m)

Visiting Faculty, Bren School of Environmental Science & Management, UCSB

From:

Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Wednesday, July 06, 2016 10:19 AM

To:

Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject:

FW: Annexation of Museum Property from County to City

From: alastair winn [mailto:alastairwinn@msn.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 05, 2016 4:27 PM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Annexation of Museum Property from County to City

Dear Mr. Hood,

Having owned property two blocks from the SBNHM for 27 years and having served as a director of the Mission Canyon Association Board for 10 years, I am well aware of the nuances of this project and heartily support annexation. Please do not be swayed by a small vocal minority opposition with ulterior motives to block improvements to pedestrian and bike access between the Mission and the Museum. The Annexations Plan before you was well considered and is good for the community.

Sincerely,

Alastair Winn 340 East Los Olivos Street Santa Barbara, CA

From: Suze McClellan <petitions-noreply@moveon.org>

Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 9:20 AM

To: Email Lafco

Subject: I'm the 105th signer: "Keep Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History Land in the

County"

Dear Craig Geyer,

I just signed a petition addressed to you titled <u>Keep Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History Land in the County</u>. So far, 105 people have signed the petition.

You can reach me directly by replying to this email. Or, post a response for MoveOn.org to pass along to all petition signers by clicking here: http://petitions.moveon.org/target_talkback.html?tt=tt-102115-custom-63534-20260706-SknZgI

The petition states:

"We do not support the annexation of the woodland acres from the County to the City. Further, we do not want the City to take control from the County the entry into Mission Canyon from the bridge to Puesta del Sol or an Easement for Las Encinas Road annexed to the City."

My additional comments are:

I adamantly want the area to stay as it is. Stay as "county". Stay natural & authentic. Protect & Preserve. Thank you.

To download a PDF file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link: http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1825241&target_type=custom&target_id=63534

To download a CSV file of all of your constituents who have signed the petition, including their addresses, click this link:

http://petitions.moveon.org/deliver_pdf.html?job_id=1825241&target_type=custom&target_id=63534&csv=1

Suze McClellan Santa Barbara, CA

This email was sent through MoveOn's public petition website, a free service that allows anyone to set up their own online petition and share it with friends. MoveOn does not endorse the contents of petitions posted on our public petition website. If you have any questions, please email petitions@moveon.org. If you don't want to receive further emails updating you on how many people have signed this petition, click here: http://petitions.moveon.org/delivery_unsub.html?e=Lv2VdJnpT.8b9U.XZIHOhWxhZmNvQHNibGFmY28ub3Jn&petition_id=102115.

From: Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 10:09 AM

To: Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject: FW: Annexation meeting for the Museum of Natural History

From: Chuck [mailto:slosser@cox.net]
Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 9:13 AM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Annexation meeting for the Museum of Natural History

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing in support of the Museum of Natural History's request to annex a portion of it's property to the City Santa Barbara. As you doubtless know, the Museum now falls under the jurisdiction of both the County and the City.

Having worked at the Museum during the late 1980's as its Director of Development I remember the opposition we faced from a small, but vocal, group of neighbors who opposed "anything" the museum wanted to do—including streamlining its jurisdictional complexity. Then, as now, living under two different authorities remains confusing and unnecessary. I recommend that you give serious consideration to bringing the entire campus under the City of Santa Barbara and settle this matter once and for all.

Sincerely,

Chuck Slosser Ph.D.
Senior Fellow
The Philanthropic Initiative
300 El Monte Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93109
805-965-2587
slosser@cox.net



Santa Barbara LAFCO c/o Paul Hood, Executive Officer 105 East Anapamu Street, Room 407 Santa Barbara CA 93101

July 7, 2016

RE: Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History in a single jurisdiction

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to express my institution's support to the Museum of Natural History's request to have a parcel that they own be annexed into the City so that the entire Museum property would be in a single jurisdiction.

One of the things that can rightly be credited with preserving the character of Santa Barbara are the many boards and commissions that encourage significant community input. This is true in both City and County jurisdictions. While working through these commissions is an essential and appropriate part of any permitting process, it also adds a significant financial cost to those efforts. It is an entirely reasonable request to control these costs by moving all of the parcels into a single jurisdiction. Both the City and County are rigorous in their protection of the character of our community, and the Museum has suggested incorporating the elements that the local community hopes to safeguard into its Conditional Use Permit, thus committing itself to preservation of public open space in a way that is not required in its current jurisdiction.

This union of the entire Museum campus into a single jurisdiction ensures effective oversight of the Museum without placing undo burden of reporting to multiple jurisdictions. On behalf of the Santa Barbara Botanic Garden, I hope that the Commission will approve the annexation of this parcel into the City.

Subject:

FW: Museum Annexation

From: Laura Capps [mailto:laura@lauracapps.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 10:55 AM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net Subject: Museum Annexation

Dear LAFCO Commissioners,

I am a resident in Mission Canyon and a grateful multi-generation patron of the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History. I grew up going to that museum and now enjoy it as a parent of a young son. I am writing to ask for your approval of the annexation of the museum's county parcels into the city.

When I moved to Mission Canyon I was surprised to learn that the museum campus is regulated by two different jurisdictions. That reality is perplexing and I am glad that steps are underway to rectify it. As far as I understand, the annexation has long been anticipated as a City boundary adjustment. I am pleased to learn that the City Planning Commission and City Council both voted unanimously to initiate this annexation, and the Cityhas filed the petition that is before you.

My son is 5 years old; being active in nature is about the best experience I can give him. Thus, at this stage of his development, we spend more time in the woodland area of the museum than we do within its walls. The combination of both both Mission Creek and an oak woodland is a wonderful and powerful manifestation of the Museum's mission. As a native Santa Barbaran, I view the Museum's mission with pride. How wonderful that our museum of natural history truly engages our children with real nature!

I hope you determine that annexation is the sound and only option.

Thank you for the work that you do.

Laura Capps

729 Mission Canyon Rd.

Santa Barbara, CA

(805)245-5465

From: Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 12:07 PM

To: Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject: FW: Support for the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History woodlands annexation

From: Jeffrey Grange [mailto:jgrange@dadco.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 10:58 AM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Support for the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History woodlands annexation

Dear Mr. Hood and Commissioners,

I will be unable to attend tomorrow's meeting, however I wanted to send my support for the annexation of the woodlands to the City of Santa Barbara's jurisdiction. The museum has shown to be a great steward of the natural world in our community. Having both the City and County jurisdictions in the property only makes for extra financial and management costs when basic upkeep or improvements are necessary on the museum campus.

I hope you will support the annexation of the woodlands to city jurisdiction. The museum is a gem of this community, which I support financially, and I always support more dollars going towards children education and less managing the organizational side of the museum.

Sincerely, Jeff Grange 2918 Serena Road Santa Barbara, CA 93105

JEFFREY A. GRANGE | Senior Financial Advisor jgrange@dadco.com
p: 805.618.3160 | f: 805.899.3817
D.A. Davidson & Co.
111 West Micheltorena St. Suite 200
Santa Barbara. CA 93101



D.A Davidson Companies Disclaimer -- 2016-07-06

D.A. Davidson Companies does not accept orders from retail clients to buy or sell securities via e-mail. Information contained in this e-mail is not considered an official record of your account and does not supersede trade confirmations and account statements. Any information provided has been prepared from sources believed to be reliable but is not guaranteed and is for informational purposes only. This e- mail may be privileged and/or confidential, and the sender does not waive any related right or obligation. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than an intended recipient is unauthorized. If this e-mail was misdirected or you received it in error please disregard. Information received or sent from this system is subject to review by supervisory personnel, is retained and may be produced to regulatory authorities or others with a legal right to the information. Additional important disclosures can be found at www.davidsoncompanies.com

From:

Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Wednesday, July 06, 2016 2:08 PM

To:

Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject:

Fwd: SB Visitor Center supports the annexation

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Annmarie Rogers < annmarie@sbchamber.org>

Date: July 6, 2016 at 1:34:05 PM PDT

To: "hood.paul@sbcglobal.net" < hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Cc: Luke Natural History Museum < lswetland@SBNATURE2.ORG >

Subject: SB Visitor Center supports the annexation

Dear Mr. Hood,

My name is Annmarie Rogers and I am the Executive Director of the Santa Barbara Visitor Center. I am in full support of the annexation of the Museum's county parcels into the City.

I believe in the Museum's mission to provide a peaceful and beautiful setting for education and cultural enrichment for our community; and I trust that the parcel will be used in an environmentally correct /neighborhood friendly manner. If annexation will save time and money that can be spent to enhance other efforts at the Museum, I am all for it.

The Museum is one of Santa Barbara's greatest treasures. It is a very special institution that brings so much to our city. I have faith in the leadership of Mr. Swetland and give him my full support. Sincerely,

Annmarie Rogers Visitor Center Executive Director SB Chamber Visitor Center 1 Garden Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net> From:

Wednesday, July 06, 2016 2:08 PM Sent: Alexander, Jacquelyne

Fwd: Annexation of Museum County Parcels to City of SB Subject:

Sent from my iPhone

To:

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Stacey J. Byers" <stacey@capturedspirit.net>

Date: July 6, 2016 at 1:54:08 PM PDT

To: < hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Subject: Annexation of Museum County Parcels to City of SB

Dear Mr. Hood, and LAFCO Commissioners:

I write to request the Commission vote in support of the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History's request for an annexation of the oak woodland parcels that are currently in the County - and bring them into the City of Santa Barbara. As a resident of Mission Canyon, I appreciate that the Museum cares for this oak woodland and wants it to remain a passive use area made available to people who enjoy this natural landscape. I regularly walk the trails that lead from the Museum's Backyard along Mission Creek and think how fortunate we are to have a well-respected institution be the entity responsible for this special place. Who better to to teach us about the importance of the things living and growing here? Who better to protect it?

If the Museum feels that for administrative reasons it makes sense to have the oak woodland parcels brought into the City of Santa Barbara - a city that many believe to be an example of environmental stewardship - I stand in support of their request and ask that LAFCO do the same.

Sincerely. Stacey J. Byers Resident, Mission Canyon

From:

Paul Hood <hood.paul@sbcglobal.net>

Sent:

Wednesday, July 06, 2016 4:04 PM

To:

Alexander, Jacquelyne

Subject:

FW: Annexation of Natural History Museum Parcels

----Original Message----

From: Venesa Faciane [mailto:venesa228@gmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 06, 2016 2:35 PM

To: hood.paul@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Annexation of Natural History Museum Parcels

To whom it may concern:

I'm writing to ask for your approval of the annexation of the Santa Barbara Museum of Natural History county parcels to the city. Approving the annexation will allow the Museum to be regulated under one jurisdiction as apposed to the two jurisdictions it's currently under. The five acres of woodland area will also be covered under the Museums CUP and the land will only be used for the current family residence, Museum educational activities, and recreation, when the annexation is approved.

I appreciate your time in this matter and will be extremely pleased with your approved vote of the Museums annexation of county parcels to the city.

Thanks you,

Venesa Faciane

Friend of the Museum & Mission Canyon resident